

Asymptotic behavior of the approximation numbers of the Hardy-type operator from L^p into L^q

(cases $1 < p \leq q \leq 2, 2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ and $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$)

J. Lang^a O.Mendez^b A.Nekvinda^c

^a*Department of Mathematics, 100 Math Tower, 231 West 18th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210-1174, USA*

^b*500 W. University Ave., 124 Bell Hall, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX 79968, USA*

^c*Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Technical University, Thakurova 7, 166 29 Prague 6, Czech Republic*

Abstract

We consider the Hardy-type operator

$$(Tf)(x) := v(x) \int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt, \quad x > a.$$

and establish properties of T as a map from $L^p(a, b)$ into $L^q(a, b)$ for $1 < p \leq q \leq 2, 2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ and $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$. The main result is that, with appropriate assumptions on u and v , the approximation numbers $a_n(T)$ of T satisfy the inequality

$$c_1 \int_a^b |uv|^r dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq c_2 \int_a^b |uv|^r dt$$

when $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, and in the case $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$ we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq c_3 \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt$$

and

$$c_4 \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{(1/2-1/q)r+1} a_n^r(T),$$

where $r = \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$ and constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 . Upper and lower estimates for the l^s and $l^{s,k}$ norms of $\{a_n(T)\}$ are also given.

Key words: Approximation numbers, Hardy-type operators, Integral operators
1991 MSC: 47G10, 47B10

1 Introduction

The operator $T : L^p(a, b) \rightarrow L^q(a, b)$ (where $0 \leq a \leq b \leq d < \infty$) defined by

$$Tf(x) = v(x) \int_0^x u(t)f(t)dt \quad (1)$$

was studied in [1] and [5], in the case $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, for real-valued functions $u \in L^{p'}(0, c), v \in L^p(c, d)$, for any $c \in (0, d)$ and $p' = p/(p-1)$. In the aforementioned works, the following estimates for the approximation numbers $a_n(T)$ of T were obtained:

$$a_{N(\varepsilon)+3} \leq \sigma_p \varepsilon, \quad (2)$$

$$a_{N(\varepsilon)-1} \geq \nu_q (N(\varepsilon) - 1)^{1/q-1/p} \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } p < q < \infty \quad (3)$$

and

$$a_{N(\varepsilon)/2-1} \geq \varepsilon/2, \quad \text{for } p = q, \quad (4)$$

where σ_p, ν_q , are constants depending on q , and $N(\varepsilon)$ is an ε -depending natural number .

In the case $p = q$, these results are sharp and are used in [2] and [5] to obtain asymptotic results for the approximation numbers.

Email addresses: lang@math.ohio-state.edu (J. Lang),
mendez@math.utep.edu (O.Mendez), nekvinda@fsv.cvut.cz (A.Nekvinda).

Specifically, it was proved in [2] that for $p = q = 2$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n(T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)| dt \quad (5)$$

and that for $1 < p = q < \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{4} \alpha_p \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)| dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n(T) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n(T) \leq \alpha_p \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)| dt. \quad (6)$$

The endpoint cases were studied in [5]: it was shown there that for $p = q = \infty$ (and similarly for $p = q = 1$)

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_0^d |u(t)v_s(t)| dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n(T) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n(T) \leq \int_0^d |u(t)v_s(t)| dt, \quad (7)$$

where

$$v_s(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \|v \chi_{(t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon)}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

If $p < q$, the estimates (2) and (3) are not sharp.

The estimates (2) and (3) were used in [7] to obtain the following asymptotic results for the approximation numbers in the case $1 < p < q < \infty$:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq c_{p,q} \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt \quad (8)$$

and

$$\leq d_{p,q} \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{(1/p-1/q)r+1} a_n^r(T) \quad (9)$$

where $r = pq'/(q + p')$.

Since the estimates upon which they are based are not sharp, these results aren't sharp either, in contrast to (5), (6). Our research is directed toward finding alternative, refined versions of (2) and (3) in the case $p < q$, aiming

to get better asymptotic results than (8) and (9). In this paper, we succeed in showing that for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$a_{N(\varepsilon)+1} \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad (10)$$

and for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$

$$a_{N(\varepsilon)/4-1} \geq c\varepsilon, \quad (11)$$

and for $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$

$$a_{N(\varepsilon)/4-1} \geq c\varepsilon N(\varepsilon)^{1/2-1/q}, \quad (12)$$

where c is a constant independent of ε and $N(\varepsilon)$. And under some condition on u and v we show that for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$

$$c_1 \int_a^b |uv|^r \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq c_2 \int_a^b |uv|^r,$$

and for $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq c_{p,q} \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt$$

and

$$d_{p,q} \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{(1/2-1/q)r+1} a_n^r(T),$$

where $r = \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$. We also describe l^r and $l^{r,s}$ norms of $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we will suppose that $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$. In what follows we shall be concerned with the operator T defined in (1) as a map from $L^p(0, d)$ into $L^q(0, d)$ where $0 < d \leq \infty$. The functions u, v are subject to the following restrictions: for all $x \in (0, d)$

$$u \in L^{p'}(0, x), \quad (13)$$

and

$$v \in L^q(x, d). \quad (14)$$

It is well-known that these assumptions guarantee that T is well defined (see (9)). Moreover, the norm of this operator is equivalent to:

$$J := \sup_{x \in (0, d)} \left(\int_0^x |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_x^d |v(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q},$$

(see [4],[8] and [5]). We define the operator T_I by

$$T_I f(x) := v(x) \chi_I(x) \int_0^x u(t) f(t) \chi_I(t) dt, \quad x > 0, \quad (15)$$

where $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$, and the quantity

$$J(I) \equiv J(a, b) := \sup_{x \in I} \left(\int_a^x |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_x^d |v(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q}. \quad (16)$$

It is obvious that $J(I) \approx \|T_I\|_{p \rightarrow q}$, where the symbol \approx indicates that the quotient of the two sides is bounded above and below by positive constants.

Proposition 1 *There are two positive constants K_1, K_2 such that for any $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$ the inequality*

$$K_1 J(a, b) \leq \|T_I\| \leq K_2 J(a, b)$$

holds.

We start by proving an important continuity property of J :

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that (13) and (14) are satisfied. Then the function $J(\cdot, b)$ is continuous and non-increasing on $(0, b)$, for any $b \leq \infty$.*

Proof: It is easy to verify that $J(\cdot, b)$ is non-increasing on $(0, b)$. To prove the continuity of J , fix $x \in (0, b)$ an $\varepsilon > 0$. By (13) and (14) there exists $0 < h_0 < \min\{x, b - x\}$ such that

$$\left(\int_{x-h_0}^x |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_{q, (x-h_0, x)} < \varepsilon.$$

It follows that for h , $0 < h < h_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
J(x, b) &\leq J(x - h, b) = \sup_{x-h < z < b} \left(\int_{x-h}^z |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_{q,(z,b)} \\
&= \max \left\{ \sup_{x-h < z < x} \left(\int_{x-h}^z |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_{q,(z,b)}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sup_{x < z < b} \left(\left(\int_{x-h}^x + \int_x^z \right) |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_{q,(z,b)} \right\} \\
&\leq \max \{ \varepsilon, \varepsilon + J(x, d) \} = \varepsilon + J(x, d), \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

which yields $0 < J(x - h, b) - J(x, b) < \varepsilon$. The inequality $0 < J(x, b) - J(x + h, b) < \varepsilon$ can be proved analogously. \square

For the sake of completeness, we include the following known result (see [4] and [9]):

Proposition 2.2 *The operator T defined by (1), with $1 < p < \infty$ and u, v satisfying (13), (14) and $J < \infty$ is a compact map from $L^p(0, d)$ into $L^q(0, d)$ if and only if $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} J(0, c) = \lim_{c \rightarrow d^-} J(c, d) = 0$.*

In what follows $A(I)$ is a function defined on all sub-intervals $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$, defined by

$$A(I) = A(a, b) := \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|Tf - \alpha v\|_{p,I}. \tag{18}$$

A similar function can be found in [5]. Next, we prove some basic properties of $A(I)$. Choosing $\alpha = 0$ in (18) we immediately obtain for any $I = (a, b)$, $0 \leq a < b \leq d$,

$$A(I) \leq \|T_I\|. \tag{19}$$

Lemma 2.3 *Let $I = (a, b)$ and $\|u\|_{p',I} < \infty$, $\|v\|_{q,I} < \infty$. Set*

$$\tilde{A}(I) = \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq 2\|u\|_{p',I}} \|Tf - \alpha v\|_{p,I}.$$

Then $A(I) = \tilde{A}(I)$.

Proof: Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_I\| &= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \int_a^b \left(\left| \int_a^x f(t)u(t)dt \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \left(\int_a^b |v(x)|^q \left(\int_a^x |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{q/p} \left(\int_a^x |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{q/p'} dx \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \left(\int_a^b |v(x)|^q \left(\int_a^b |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{q/p'} dx \right)^{1/q} = \|u\|_{p',I} \|v\|_{q,I}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\|v\|_{q,I} = 0$ then $A(I) = \tilde{A}(I) = 0$. Assume $\|v\|_{q,I} > 0$. Let $\|f\|_{p,I} = 1$ and suppose that $|\alpha| > 2\|u\|_{p',I}$. Then $|\alpha| \geq 2\frac{\|T_I\|}{\|v\|_{q,I}}$ and using the trivial inequality $|a - b|^q \geq 2^{1-q}|a|^q - |b|^q$ valid for any real numbers a, b we obtain for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b \left| \left(\alpha - \int_a^x f(t)u(t)dt \right) v(x) \right|^q dx &\geq \int_a^b \left| |\alpha v(x)| - \left| \int_a^x f(t)u(t)dt \right| \right|^q dx \\
&\geq 2^{1-q} |\alpha|^q \int_a^b |v(x)|^q dx - \int_a^b \left| v(x) \int_a^x f(t)u(t)dt \right|^q dx \\
&> 2^{1-q} \left(2\frac{\|T_I\|}{\|v\|_{q,I}} \right)^q \int_a^b |v(x)|^q dx - \|T_I\|^q = \|T_I\|^q.
\end{aligned}$$

In conjunction with (19), the above yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T_I\| \geq A(I) \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \min \left\{ \inf_{|\alpha| \leq 2\|u\|_{p',I}} \left(\int_a^b \left| \left(\alpha - \int_a^x f(t)u(t)dt \right) v(x) \right|^q \right)^{1/q}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \inf_{|\alpha| > 2\|u\|_{p',I}} \left(\int_a^b \left| \left(\alpha - \int_a^x f(t)u(t)dt \right) v(x) \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\} \\
&= \inf_{|\alpha| \leq 2\|u\|_{p',I}} \left(\int_a^b \left| \left(\alpha - \int_a^x f(t)u(t)dt \right) v(x) \right|^q \right)^{1/q} = \tilde{A}(I),
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.4 *Let u and v satisfy (13) and (14) respectively. Then $A(I_1) \leq A(I_2)$, provided $I_1 \subset I_2$. Moreover, given $0 < b < d$ the function $A(\cdot, b)$ is continuous on $(0, b)$.*

Proof: Let $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq b_1 \leq d$, $I_1 = (a_1, b_1)$, $I_2 = (a_2, b_2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A(I_1) &= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I_1}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left| v(x) \left(\int_{a_1}^x (f(t)u(t)dt - \alpha) \right) \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
&\geq \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I_2}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left| v(x) \left(\int_{a_1}^x (f(t)u(t)dt - \alpha) \right) \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
&\geq \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I_2}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} \left| v(x) \left(\int_{a_2}^x (f(t)u(t)dt - \alpha) \right) \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} = A(I_2)
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the first part of lemma.

For the remaining statement, fix $b \in (0, d)$ and $0 < y < b$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By (13) and (14) there exists $0 < h_0$ such that $0 < y - h_0$ and

$$\int_{y-h_0}^y |u|^{p'} < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{y-h_0}^y |v|^q < \varepsilon.$$

Set $D_h = 2\|u\|_{p',(y-h,b)}$ for any $0 \leq h < y$. Recall that by (13), one has $D_h < \infty$ for $0 \leq h < d$. Using the trivial inequality $(a+b)^{1/q} \leq a^{1/q} + b^{1/q}$, the triangle inequality and the Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
A(y, b) &\leq A(y-h, b) \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,(y-h,b)}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \left(\int_{y-h}^b \left| \left(\alpha - \int_{y-h}^x f(t)u(t)dt \right) v(x) \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,(y-h,b)}=1} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq D_h} \left\{ \int_{y-h}^y \left| \left(\alpha - \int_{y-h}^x f(t)u(t)dt \right) v(x) \right|^q dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_y^b \left| \left(\int_{y-h}^y f(t)u(t)dt + \int_y^x f(t)u(t)dt - \alpha \right) v(x) \right|^q dx \right\}^{1/q} \\
&\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{p,(y-h,b)}=1} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq D_h} \left\{ \left[\int_{y-h}^y |v(x)|^q \left(\int_{y-h}^x |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{q/p'} \left(\int_{y-h}^x |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{q/p} dx \right]^{1/q} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[|\alpha|^q \int_y^b |v(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/q} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[\int_y^b |v(x)|^q dx \left(\int_{y-h}^y |u(t)|^{p'} dt \right)^{q/p'} \left(\int_{y-h}^y |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{q/p} \right]^{1/q} \\
& + \left[\int_y^b |v(x)| \left(\int_y^x f(t)u(t) dt - \alpha \right)^q dx \right]^{1/q} \Big\} \\
& \leq \left\{ \varepsilon^{1+1/p'} + D_h \varepsilon^{1/q} + \|v\|_{q,(y,b)} \varepsilon^{1/p'} \right. \\
& \left. + \sup_{\|f\|_{p,(y-h,b)}=1} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq D_h} \left(\int_y^b \left| \left(\int_y^x f(t)u(t) dt - \alpha \right) v(x) \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $D_0 \leq D_h \leq D_{h_0}$ we have by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf_{|\alpha| \leq D_h} \left(\int_y^b \left| \left(\int_y^x f(t)u(t) dt - \alpha \right) v(x) \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
& \leq \inf_{|\alpha| \leq D_0} \left(\int_y^b \left| \left(\int_y^x f(t)u(t) dt - \alpha \right) v(x) \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} = A(y, b)
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$A(y, b) \leq A(y - h, b) \leq 2^{q-1} (\varepsilon^{1+1/p'} + D_{h_0} \varepsilon^{1/q} + \|v\|_{q,(y,b)} \varepsilon^{1/p'} + A(y, b))$$

which proves that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0_+} A(y - h, b) = A(y, b).$$

Analogously,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0_+} A(y + h, b) = A(y, b).$$

which finishes the proof of our lemma. \square

Lemma 2.5 *Suppose $u, v > 0$ satisfy (13) and (14) and that $T : L^p(a, b) \rightarrow L^q(a, b)$ is compact. Let $I_1 = (c, d)$ and $I_2 = (c', d')$ be subintervals of (a, b) , with $I_2 \subset I_1$, $|I_2| > 0$, $|I_1 - I_2| > 0$, $\int_a^b v^q(x) dx < \infty$. Then $0 < A(I_2) < A(I_1)$.*

Proof: Let $0 \leq f \in L^p(I_2)$, $0 < \|f\|_{p,I_2} \leq \|f\|_{p,I_1} \leq 1$ with $\text{supp } f \subset I_2$. Let $y \in I_2$ then

$$\|T_{(c',y)}\|_{p,I_2} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_{(y,d')}\|_{p,I_2} > 0$$

and then by simple modification of [EHL2, Lemma 3.5] for case $p < q$ we have

$$\min\{\|T_{(c',y)}\|_{q,I_2}, \|T_{(y,d')}\|_{q,I_2}\} \leq \min_{x \in J} \|T_{x,J}\|_{q,I_2}$$

which means $A(I_2) > 0$.

Next, suppose that $c = c' < d' < d$. A slight modification of [EHL2, Theorem 3.8] for $p < q$, yields $x_0 \in I_2$ and $x_1 \in I_1$ such that $A(I_2) = \|T_{x_0, I_2}\|_{q, I_2}$ and $A(I_1) = \|T_{x_1, I_1}\|_{q, I_1}$. Since $u, v > 0$ on I_1 , it is then quite easy to see that $x_0 \in I_2^o$ and $x_1 \in I_1^o$.

If $x_0 = x_1$, then, since $u, v > 0$ on I_1 , we get

$$A(I_1) = \|T_{x_1, I_1}\|_{q, I_1} > \|T_{x_1, I_1}\|_{q, I_2} = \|T_{x_1, I_2}\|_{q, I_2} = A(I_2).$$

On the other hand, if $x_0 \neq x_1$, then

$$A(I_1) = \|T_{x_1, I_1}\|_{q, I_1} \geq \|T_{x_1, I_1}\|_{q, I_2} \geq \|T_{x_1, I_2}\|_{q, I_2} > \|T_{x_0, I_2}\|_{q, I_2} = A(I_2).$$

The case $c < c' < d' = d$ could be proved similarly and the case $c < c' < d' < d$ follows from previous cases and the monotonicity of $A(I_1)$. \square

Let $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$ and $I_i = (a_i, b_i) \subset I$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Say that $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^k \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ if $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \overline{I_i} \supset I$ and assume the intervals $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^k$ to be non-overlapping.

Now, for any interval $I \subseteq (0, d)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the numbers M and N , as follows:

$$M(I, \varepsilon) := \inf\{n : J(I_i) \leq \varepsilon, \{I_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{P}(I)\}. \quad (20)$$

and

$$N(I, \varepsilon) := \inf\{n; A(I_i) \leq \varepsilon, \{I_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{P}(I)\}. \quad (21)$$

Since by Proposition 1, $A(I) \leq \|T_I\| \leq K_2 J(I)$, we have

$$N(I, \varepsilon) \leq M(I, K_2 \varepsilon). \quad (22)$$

Put $N(\varepsilon) = N((0, d), \varepsilon)$ and $M(\varepsilon) = M((0, d), \varepsilon)$. From Proposition 2.3 and the definition of $J(I)$ one gets the following:

Remark 2.6 *Suppose that (13) and (14) are satisfied. Then $T : L^p(0, d) \rightarrow L^q(0, d)$ is compact if and only if $M(\varepsilon) < \infty$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Lemma 2.7 *Let T be a compact operator. Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0_+} A(0, x) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow d_-} A(x, d) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.8 *Suppose that T is a compact operator, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$. Let $m = N(I, \varepsilon)$. Then there exists a sequence of non-overlapping intervals $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^m$ covering I , such that $A(I_i) = \varepsilon$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, m-1\}$, $A(I_1) \leq \varepsilon$, and $A(I_m) \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof: From Remark 2.7 and (22), one has $m < \infty$. Define a system $\mathcal{S} = \{I_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$, $I_j \subset I$, of intervals as follows: Set $b_1 = \inf\{x \in I; A(x, b) \leq \varepsilon\}$. By Lemma 2.7 we have $a \leq b_1 < b$. Put $I_1 = [b_1, b]$. Then $A(I_1) \leq \varepsilon$. If $a = b_1$ write $\mathcal{S} = \{I_1\}$, otherwise set $b_2 = \inf\{x \in I; A(x, b_1) \leq \varepsilon\}$ and $I_2 = [b_2, b_1]$. Observe that by Lemma 2.4 we have $A(I_2) = \varepsilon$. We can now proceed by mathematical induction to construct a (finite or infinite) system of intervals $\mathcal{S} = \{I_j\}_{j=1}^\alpha$. Note that we have only $A(I_\alpha) \leq \varepsilon$ (not $A(I_\alpha) = \varepsilon$) provided $\alpha < \infty$ and $A(I_\beta) = \varepsilon$ for $\beta < \alpha$. Writing $b_0 = b$ we can set $I_j = [b_j, b_{j-1}]$, $1 \leq j \leq \alpha$.

Our next step is to show that $\alpha = m$. By the definition of m one has $\alpha \geq m$ and a finite sequence of numbers $a = a_m < a_{m-1} < \dots < a_0 = b$ and intervals $J_i = [a_i, a_{i-1}]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that $A(J_i) \leq \varepsilon$. Notice that $b_1 \leq a_1$, for if not, we can take $\lambda : 0 < \lambda < b_1$, which, from Lemma 2.4 and the definition of I , would yield $\varepsilon < A(\lambda, b_0) \leq A(J_1) \leq \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction. Assume now that for some $\alpha > 1$, $b_k > a_k$. If $b_{k-1} \leq a_{k-1}$, then talking $a_k < \lambda < b_k$, Lemma 2.4 and the definition of I_k yield $\varepsilon < A(\lambda, b_{k-1}) \leq A(J_k) \leq \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction, so that $a_{k-1} \leq b_{k-1}$. Repeating this reasoning, one arrives at $b_1 > a_1$, which is again a contradiction. Thus, $b_k \leq a_k$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Choosing $k = m$ we have $b_m = a$ and consequently, $\alpha = m$ and \mathcal{S} covers I which finishes the proof. \square

For future reference (see the proof of (11) in the next section) we include the following lemmas and remarks.

Let X be a Banach space and $M \subset X$. Recall the definition of the distance function $\text{dist}(\cdot, M)$,

$$\text{dist}(x, M) = \inf\{\|x - y\|; y \in M\}, \quad x \in X.$$

Lemma 2.9 *Let T be a compact operator, $u, v > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$ and $m = N(I, \varepsilon)$.*

(i) *Then there exists $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$ and a sequence of non-overlapping intervals $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^m$ covering I , such that $A(I_i) = \varepsilon_1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.*

(ii) *There exists $\varepsilon_2 : 0 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon$ such that $m + 1 = N(I, \varepsilon_2)$.*

Proof: The proof follows from the strict monotonicity and the continuity of $A(I)$. \square

Lemma 2.10 *Let H be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. Let*

$Y = \{u_1, \dots, u_{2n}\}$ be any orthonormal set with $2n$ vectors and let X be any m -dimensional subspace of H with $m \leq n$. Then there exists an integer j , $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, such that

$$\text{dist}(u_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Proof: Denote the inner product in H by (u, v) . Extend Y to an orthonormal topological basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of H . Choose an orthonormal basis of X , say v_1, \dots, v_m . Denote by P the orthogonal projection of H into X . Then

$$Pu = \sum_{j=1}^m (u, v_j) v_j \quad \text{for any } u \in H.$$

Since P is a self-adjoint projection we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \|u_k - Pu_k\|^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (1 - 2(u_k, Pu_k) + (Pu_k, Pu_k)) \\ &= 2n - \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (u_k, Pu_k) = 2n - \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \sum_{j=1}^m (u_k, v_j)^2 \\ &= 2n - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (u_k, v_j)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The Parseval identity yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u_k, v_j)^2 = \|v_j\|^2 = 1,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n} (u_k, v_j)^2 \leq 1.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \|u_k - Pu_k\|^2 \geq 2n - m \geq n,$$

which guarantees the existence of an integer j , $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, with $\|u_j - Pu_j\|^2 \geq 1/2$. Then

$$\text{dist}(u_j, X) = \|u_j - Pu_j\| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.11 *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and X be any n -dimensional subspace of l_p . Set $e_j \in l_p$, $e_j = \{\delta_{ij}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ where δ_{ij} is Kronecker's symbol. Then there exists an integer j , $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, such that*

$$\text{dist}_p(e_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

.

Proof: Denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the norm and by dist_p the distance function in l_p . Since $\|\cdot\|_{l_2} \leq \|\cdot\|_{l_p}$ we can consider X as an n -dimensional subspace of l_2 . Thus, using the previous lemma there is j , $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ with $\text{dist}_2(e_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ from which immediately follows that

$$\text{dist}_p(e_j, X) = \inf\{\|e_j - x\|_p; x \in X\} \geq \inf\{\|e_j - x\|_2; x \in X\} = \text{dist}_2(e_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

\square

Lemma 2.12 *Let $2 < p \leq \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be any n -dimensional subspace of l^p . Set $e_j = \{\delta_{ij}\}_{i=1}^\infty \in l_p$ where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's symbol. Then there is j , $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ such that*

$$\text{dist}_p(e_j, X) \geq 2^{1/p-1} n^{1/p-1/2}. \quad (23)$$

Proof: Let $R : l^p \rightarrow l^p$ be the restriction operator given by

$$R(a) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}, 0, 0, \dots)$$

where $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^p$. Chose $u_i \in X$ such that $\text{dist}_p(e_i, X) = \|e_i - u_i\|$. Using the well-known inequality

$$\|R(a)\|_2 \leq (2n)^{1/2-1/p} \|R(a)\|_p \quad \text{for all } a \in l^p$$

it follows that for each $1 \leq i \leq 2n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_p(e_i, X) &= \|e_i - u_i\|_p \geq \|R(e_i) - R(u_i)\|_p \\ &\geq (2n)^{1/2-1/p} \|R(e_i) - R(u_i)\|_2 \geq (2n)^{1/2-1/p} \text{dist}_2(e_i, R(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $R(X)$ is a linear subspace of l^2 , by Lemma 2.10 there exists j with

$$\text{dist}_2(e_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

It is shown in the appendix that the power of n in (23) is the best possible if $2 < p \leq \infty$.

With the aid of the last lemmas we can use get a modified version Lemma 2.10 with H replaced with $L^p(0, d)$.

We start by recalling some lemmas referring to the properties of the map taking $x \in X$ to its nearest element $M_A(x) \in A \subset X$.

Lemma 2.13 *Assume that X is a strictly convex Banach space, $V \subset X$ be a finite dimensional subspace of X and $x_0 \in X$. Set $A = \{x_0 + v; v \in V\}$. Then for any $x \in X$ there exists a unique element v such that*

$$\|x - v\| = \inf\{\|x - y\|; y \in A\}.$$

Denote by M_A the mapping which assigns to $x \in X$ the nearest element of A .

Lemma 2.14 *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$ and $v \in V$, one has*

$$M_V(\alpha x) = \alpha M_V(x), \tag{24}$$

$$M_V(x + v) = M_V(x) + v \tag{25}$$

and

$$\|x - v\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|M_V(x) - v\|. \tag{26}$$

The proof of these last two lemas can be found in [10].

Recall that $P : X \rightarrow X$ is called a projection if P is linear, $P^2 = P$ and $\|P\| < \infty$.

Lemma 2.15 *Let X is a strictly convex Banach space and $V \subset X$ be a subspace, $\dim(V) = \sqrt{n}$ is finite. Then there exists a projection $P : X \rightarrow V$ which is onto such that $\|P\| \leq \sqrt{n}$.*

For proof see [11,III.B, Theorem 10].

The following lemma, whose proof is included for the sake of completions, plays a critical role in the sequel, since it provides an approximation to the

map M_A above by an linear operator of at most one dimensional range. The proof can also be found in [5].

Lemma 2.16 *Let $I \subset (0, d), 1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and let $\int_I |g(t)v(t)|^q dt < \infty$. Set*

$$\omega_I(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \int_I |v(t)|^q dt = 0 \\ (\int_I g(t)|v(t)|^q dt) / \int_I |v(t)|^q dt & \text{if } 0 < \int_I |v(t)|^q dt < \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } \int_I |v(t)|^q dt = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|(g - \alpha)v\|_{q,I} \leq \|(g - \omega_I(g))v\|_{q,I} \leq 2 \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|(g - \alpha)v\|_{q,I} \quad (27)$$

Proof: It suffices to prove the second inequality. Fix g such that $\int_I g(t)|v(t)|^q dt < \infty$.

Assume first that $\int_I |v(t)|^q dt = 0$. Then $v(t) = 0$ almost everywhere in I and all members in (27) are equal zero.

Let $\int_I |v(t)|^q dt = \infty$. We claim that $\|\alpha v\|_{q,I} \leq \|(\alpha - g)v\|_{q,I}$. If $\alpha = 0$ the inequality is clear. Let $\alpha \neq 0$, otherwise $\|\alpha v\|_{q,I} = \infty$ and by the triangle inequality, it follows that $\|(\alpha - g)v\|_{q,I} \geq \|\alpha v\|_{q,I} - \|gv\|_{q,I} = \infty$ and hence the claim. Thus, for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$

$$\|(g - \omega_I(g))v\|_{q,I} = \|(g - \alpha + \alpha)v\|_{q,I} \leq 2\|(g - \alpha)v\|_{q,I}$$

which gives

$$\|(g - \omega_I(g))v\|_{q,I} \leq 2 \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|(g - \alpha)v\|_{q,I}.$$

Assume now $0 < \int_I |v(t)|^q dt < \infty$. By the Hölder's inequality, we obtain, for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\alpha - \omega_I(g))v\|_{q,I}^q &= \int_I \left| \left(\alpha - \frac{\int_I g(t)|v(t)|^q dt}{\int_I |v(t)|^q dt} \right) v(x) \right|^q dx \\ &= \int_I |v(x)|^q \left| \left(\frac{\int_I (\alpha - g(t))|v(t)|^q dt}{\int_I |v(t)|^q dt} \right) \right|^q dx \\ &= \int_I \frac{|v(t)|^q}{(\int_I |v(t)|^q dt)^q} \left| \int_I (\alpha - g(t))|v(t)|^q dt \right|^q dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\int_I |v(t)|^q dx \right)^{1-q} \left| \int_I (\alpha - g(t)) |v(t)| |v(t)|^{q-1} dt \right|^q \\
&\leq \left(\int_I |v(x)|^q dx \right)^{1-q} \int_I |(\alpha - g(t))v(t)|^q dt \left(\int_I |v(t)|^{q'(q-1)} dt \right)^{q/q'} \\
&= \int_I |(\alpha - g(t))v(t)|^q dt = \|(\alpha - g)v\|_{q,I}^q
\end{aligned}$$

which proves $\|(\alpha - w_I(g))v\|_{q,I} \leq \|(\alpha - g)v\|_{q,I}$.

Now, using this inequality, for any real α one has:

$$\|(g - w_I(g))v\|_{q,I} \leq \|(g - \alpha)v\|_{q,I} + \|(\alpha - w_I(g))v\|_{q,I} \leq 2\|(\alpha - w_I(g))v\|.$$

The lemma follows by taking the infimum over α on the right hand side . \square

Lemma 2.17 *Let $X = L^p(0, d)$, $p > 1$. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be functions in X with pairwise disjoint supports with $\|v_i\|_p = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Set $V = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Then there is a projection P_V with $\text{rank } P_V \leq n$, such that*

$$\|f - M_V(f)\|_{p,(0,d)} \leq \|f - P_V(f)\|_{p,(0,d)} \leq 2\|f - M_V(f)\|_{p,(0,d)}$$

where M_V is defined on Lemma 2.13.

Proof: Denote $S_i = \text{supp } v_i$, $V_i = \text{span}\{v_i\}$. Given any $f \in X$, with $\text{supp } f \subset S_i$, let $M_i(f) = M_{v_i}(f)$. Put $P_i f = \omega_i(f \chi_{S_i}) \chi_{S_i}$, and $Pf = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(f \chi_{S_i}) \chi_{S_i}$.

From the definition of M_v and P_v we have $\|f - M_V(f)\|_{p,(0,d)} \leq \|f - P_V(f)\|_{p,(0,d)}$, which is the first inequality. Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f - M_V(f)\|_p^p &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|f \chi_{S_i} + M_v(f) \chi_{S_i}\|_{p,S_i}^p \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \|f \chi_{S_i} - M_i(f \chi_{S_i}) \chi_{S_i}\|_{p,S_i}^p \\
&\leq 2^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n \|f \chi_{S_i} - P_i(f \chi_{S_i}) \chi_{S_i}\|_{p,S_i}^p = 2^{-1/p} \|f - \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(f \chi_{S_i}) \chi_{S_i}\|_p^p \\
&\leq 2^{-1/p} \|f - P(f) \chi_{S_i}\|_p^p,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the second inequality and finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.18 *Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and let u_1, \dots, u_{2n} be a system of functions from $L^p(0, d)$ with disjoint supports. Let $X \subset L^p(0, d)$ be a subspace, $\dim X \leq n$.*

Then there exists an integer j , $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, such that

$$\text{dist}_p(u_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \|u_j\|_p.$$

Proof: If $\|u_i\|_p = 0$ for some i , it suffices to choose $j = i$. Let $\|u_i\|_p > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. Set $v_i = \frac{u_i}{\|u_i\|_p}$. Let $V = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n}\}$ and let P_V be the projection from the previous lemma. Let $Y = P_V(X)$. Then $Y \subset V$, $\dim Y \leq n$. Denote by Z the subspace of l^p consisting of all sequences $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $a_k = 0$ for all $k > 2n$. Let e_i be the canonical basis of Z . Define a linear mapping $I : Y \rightarrow Z$ by

$$I\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \alpha_i v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \alpha_i e_i.$$

Since $\|v_i\| = 1$ and the functions v_i have pairwise disjoint supports, it follows that I is an isometry between Y and Z . According to Lemma 2.11 there exists $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ such that

$$\text{dist}_p(e_j, I(Y)) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (28)$$

and from Lemma 2.13 there is a unique $x \in X$ with

$$\text{dist}_p(v_j, X) = \|v_j - x\|_p. \quad (29)$$

By the definition of P_V and M_V , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x - M(x)\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x - P_V(x)\|_p \leq \|x - M_V(x)\|_p \leq \|v_j - x\|_p$$

which yields, with the triangle inequality,

$$\|P_V(x) - v_j\|_p \leq \|P_V(x) - x\|_p + \|x - v_j\|_p \leq 2\|x - v_j\|_p \leq 2\|x - v_j\|_p + \|x - v_j\|_p \leq 3\|x - v_j\|_p.$$

This together with (28) and (29), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_p(v_j, X) &= \|v_j - x\|_p \geq \frac{1}{3} \|v_j - P_V(x)\|_p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \text{dist}_p(v_j, Y) = \frac{1}{3} \text{dist}_p(e_j, I(Y)) \geq \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by M_1 the mapping which assigns to any $f \in L^p(0, d)$ the element of X nearest to f and using (24) we can rewrite the previous inequality as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{dist}_p(u_j, X) &= \|u_j - M_1(u_j)\|_p = \|u_j\|_p \|v_j - M_1(v_j)\|_p \\ &= \|u_j\|_p \text{dist}_p(v_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \|u_j\|_p\end{aligned}$$

which yields the claim. \square

Lemma 2.19 *Let $2 < p \leq \infty$ and let u_1, \dots, u_{2n} be a system of functions from $L^p(0, d)$ with disjoint supports. Let $X \subset L^p(0, d)$ be a subspace, $\dim X \leq n$. Then there exists an integer j , $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, such that*

$$\text{dist}_p(u_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \|u_j\|_p n^{1/p-1/2}.$$

Proof: Let V, M_V, P_V, Y, Z and I have the same meanings as in Lemma 2.18. Proceeding as before, Lemma 2.12 yields j : $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ such that

$$\text{dist}_p(e_j, I(Y)) \geq \frac{1}{2} n^{1/p-1/2}.$$

Let $x \in X$ be the element given by Lemma 2.13 so that

$$\text{dist}(v_j, X) = \|v_j - x\|_p.$$

In exactly the same way as in Lemma 2.18, one gets

$$\text{dist}_p(v_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{3} n^{1/p-1/2},$$

which can be written as

$$\text{dist}_p(u_j, X) \geq \frac{1}{3} \|u_j\|_p n^{1/p-1/2},$$

and the proof is complete. \square

3 Bounds for the approximation numbers

We recall that, given any $m \in \mathbf{N}$, the m^{th} approximation number $a_m(S)$ of a bounded operator S from L^p into L^q , is defined by

$$a_m(S) := \inf_F \|S - F\|_{p \rightarrow q},$$

where the infimum is taken over all bounded linear maps $F : L^p(0, d) \rightarrow L^q(0, d)$ with rank less than m . Further discussions on approximation numbers may be found in [3]. An operator S is compact if and only if $a_m(S) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The first two lemmas of this section provide estimates for $a_m(T)$ for T as in (1), which are the analogous of those obtained in [1] and [5]. Hereafter, we shall always assume (13) and (14).

Lemma 3.1 *Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and suppose that $T : L^p(0, d) \rightarrow L^q(0, d)$ is bounded. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose that there exist $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and numbers $c_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, N$, with $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_N = d$, such that $A(I_k) \leq \varepsilon$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, where $I_k = (c_k, c_{k+1})$. Then $a_{N+1}(T) \leq 2\varepsilon$.*

Proof: Consider for $f \in L^p(a, b)$ and $0 \leq k \leq N - 1$ one-dimensional linear operators given by

$$P_{I_k}f(x) := \chi_{I_k}(x)v(x) \left(\int_{c_k}^x u f dt + \omega_{I_k} \left(\int_{c_k}^x u f dt \right) \right).$$

where ω_{I_k} is the functional from Lemma 2.16. We claim that P_k is bounded from $L^p(0, d)$ into $L^q(0, d)$ for each k .

Assume first that either $0 = \|v\|_{q, I_k}$ or $\|v\|_{q, I_k} = \infty$. Then $P_k = 0$ and consequently, it is bounded.

Assume now $0 < \|v\|_{q, I_k} < \infty$ and fix $f, \|f\|_{p, (0, d)} = 1$. Then using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \omega_{I_k} \left(\int_{c_k}^x u(t)f(t)dt \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{\int_{I_k} \int_{c_k}^x u(t)f(t)dt |v(x)|^q dx}{\int_{I_k} |v(x)|^q dx} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{I_k} |v(x)| \int_{c_k}^x u(t)f(t)dt |v(x)|^{q-1} dx}{\int_{I_k} |v(x)|^q dx} \\ &\leq \frac{\left(\int_{I_k} |v(x)| \int_{c_k}^x u(t)f(t)dt^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{I_k} |v(x)|^{(q-1)q'} dx \right)^{1/q'}}{\int_{I_k} |v(x)|^q dx} \\ &\leq \frac{\|T_{I_k}f\|_q}{\|v\|_{q, I_k}} \leq \frac{\|T\|}{\|v\|_{q, I_k}} \end{aligned}$$

and consequently,

$$\int_0^d |(P_k f)(x)|^q dx = \int_{I_k} \left| v(x) \left(\int_{c_k}^x u f dt + \omega_{I_k} \left(\int_{c_k}^x u f dt \right) \right) \right|^q dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2^{q-1} \left(\int_{I_k} \left| v(x) \int_{c_k}^x u f dt \right|^q + \omega_{I_k}^q \left(\int_{c_k}^x u f dt dx \right) \right) \\ &\leq 2^{q-1} (\|T_k f\|_q + \frac{\|T\|}{\|v\|_{q, I_k}}) \leq \|T\| (1 + \frac{1}{\|v\|_{q, I_k}}). \end{aligned}$$

Set $P = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P_k$. Then P is a linear bounded operator from $L^p(0, d)$ into $L^q(0, d)$. Moreover, we have by Lemma 2.16 and the well-known inequality $(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^q)^{1/q} \leq (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p)^{1/p}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf - Pf\|_q^q &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|Tf - P_{I_k} f\|_{q, I_k}^q \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|v(x) \left[\int_{c_k}^x u f dt - \omega_{I_k} \left(\int_{c_k}^x u f dt \right) \right]\|_{q, I_k, \mu}^q \\ &\leq 2^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_{I_k} f - \alpha f\|_{q, I_k}^q \leq 2^q \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} A^q(I_k) \|f\|_{p, I_k}^q \\ &\leq (2\varepsilon)^q \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|f\|_{p, I_k}^q \leq (2\varepsilon)^q \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|f\|_{p, I_k}^p \right)^{q/p} \leq (2\varepsilon)^q \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.5. Since $\text{rank } P \leq N$, the proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, T be bounded from $L^p(0, d)$ to $L^q(0, d)$, $0 \leq a < b < c < d$ and denote $I = [a, b]$, and $J = [b, c]$. Further, let $f, g \in L^p(0, d)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset I$, $\text{supp } g \subset J$, $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_p = 1$.*

Let r, s be real numbers and set

$$h(x) = v(x) \int_0^d u(t)(rf(t) + sg(t))dt.$$

Assume $\int_a^c u(t)h(x) = 0$. Then

$$\|h\|_q \geq (|r|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_I f - \alpha v\|^q + |s|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_J g - \alpha v\|^q)^{1/q}.$$

Proof: Since $\text{supp } f \subset I$ and $\text{supp } g \subset J$ we have

$$\int_0^a \left| v(x) \int_0^x u(t)(rf(t) + sg(t))dt \right|^q dx = 0. \quad (30)$$

If $x \in (c, d)$ we have (recall that $\int_a^c u(t)h(x) = 0$) that

$$\int_c^d \left| v(x) \int_0^x u(t)(rf(t) + sg(t))dt \right|^q dx = \int_c^d \left| v(x) \int_a^c u(t)h(t)dt \right|^q dx = 0. \quad (31)$$

Assume first $s \neq 0$. Then it follows from (30) and (31) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_q^q &= \int_0^d \left| v(x) \int_0^x u(t)(rf(t) + sg(t))dt \right|^q dx = \int_0^a + \int_a^b + \int_b^c + \int_c^d \\ &= \int_I \left| v(x) \int_0^x u(t)(rf(t) + sg(t))dt \right|^q dx \\ &\quad + \int_J \left| v(x) \int_0^x u(t)(rf(t) + sg(t))dt \right|^q dx \\ &= \int_I \left| v(x) \int_0^x u(t)rf(t)dt \right|^q dx \\ &\quad + \int_J \left| v(x) \left(\int_0^b u(t)rf(t)dt + \int_b^x u(t)sg(t)dt \right) \right|^q dx \\ &= |r|^q \int_I \left| v(x) \int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt \right|^q dx \\ &\quad + |s|^q \int_J \left| v(x) \left(\int_I u(t)\frac{r}{s}f(t)dt + \int_b^x u(t)g(t)dt \right) \right|^q dx \\ &\geq |r|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \int_I \left| v(x) \left(\int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right) \right|^q dx \\ &\quad + |s|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \int_J \left| v(x) \left(\int_b^x u(t)g(t)dt - \alpha \right) \right|^q dx \\ &= |r|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_I f - \alpha v\|_{q,I}^q + |s|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_J g - \alpha v\|_{q,J}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now $s = 0$. Then

$$\|h\|_q^q = \int_0^d \left| v(x) \int_0^x u(t)rf(t)dt \right|^q dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |r|^q \int_I \left| v(x) \int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt \right|^q dx \\
&\geq |r|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \int_I \left| v(x) \left(\int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right) \right|^q dx = \\
&\quad |r|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_I f - \alpha v\|_{q,I}^q
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3 *Let $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$, T be bounded from $L^p(0, d)$ to $L^q(0, d)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_{4N} < d$. Set $I_k = (d_k, d_{k+1})$ and assume that $A(I_k) \geq \varepsilon$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 4N - 1$. Then $a_N(T) \geq 2^{1/q-1/p-3/2}\varepsilon$.*

Proof: Let $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then there exist functions $f_k \in L^p(I_k)$ such that $\|f_k\|_{p,I_k} = 1$ and

$$\inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T f_k - \alpha v\|_{q,I_k} \geq \gamma A(I_k) \geq \gamma \varepsilon. \quad (32)$$

By definition of the approximation numbers, there is a bounded linear mapping with rank $P \leq N$ such that

$$a_{N+1}(T) \geq \gamma \|T - P\|_{p \rightarrow q}.$$

Then $P = \sum_{i=1}^N P_i$, where P_i are one-dimensional operators from $L^p(0, d)$ into $L^q(0, d)$. Thus, we can write $(P_i f)(x) = \phi_i(x) R_i(f)$ where $\phi_i \in L^q(0, d)$ and $R_i \in (L^p(0, d))^*$. Since $(L^p(0, d))^* = L^{p'}(0, d)$, it follows that $R_i f(x) = \int_0^d \psi_i(t) f(t) dt$ and that there are functions $\psi_i \in L^{p'}(0, d)$ such that

$$(P f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i(x) \int_0^d \psi_i(t) f(t) dt.$$

Denote by X the range of P . Notice that $\dim(X) \leq N$.

Define $J_i := I_{2i} \cup I_{2i+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2N - 1$. For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2N - 1\}$. Let (r_i, s_i) be orthogonal to the 2-dim vector. So that

$$|r_i|^p + |s_i|^p > 0 \text{ and } r_i \int_{I_{2i}} u f_{2i} + s_i \int_{I_{2i+1}} u f_{2i+1} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Set $g_i(t) = r_i f_{2i} + s_i f_{2i+1}$ and $h_i(x) = v(x) \int_0^x u(t) g_i(t) dt$. From $\|f_i\| = 1$ for each i : $0 \leq i \leq 2N - 1$ and (3.2), one has

$$\|g_i\|_p = \left(|r_i|^p \int_{I_{2i}} |f_{2i}(t)|^p dt + |s_i|^p \int_{I_{2i+1}} |f_{2i+1}(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = (|r_i|^p + |s_i|^p)^{1/p}.$$

Consequently, $\|h_i\|_q = \|Tg_i\|_q < \infty$. Moreover, $\int_0^d h_i(t) dt = \int_{J_i} h_i(t) dt = 0$ whence

$$\text{supp } h_i \subset J_i \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, 2N - 1.$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.18 one finds that there exists an integer k , $0 \leq k \leq 2N - 1$, such that

$$\text{dist}_q(h_k, X) \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \|h_k\|_q,$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{N+1}(T) &\geq \gamma \|T - P\|_{p \rightarrow q} \\ &\geq \sup_{f \in L^p, \text{supp } f \subset J_k} \frac{\gamma \|Tf - Pf\|_q}{\|f\|_p} \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma \|Tg_k - Pg_k\|_q}{\|g_k\|_p} = \frac{\gamma \|h_k - Pg_k\|_q}{\|g_k\|_p} \\ &\geq \gamma \frac{\text{dist}_q(h_k, X)}{\|g_k\|_p} \geq \frac{\gamma}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\|h_k\|_q}{\|g_k\|_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2, (34) and the inequality

$$(|r_k|^p + |s_k|^p)^{1/p} \leq 2^{1/p-1/q} (|r_k|^p + |s_k|^p)^{1/p}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|h_k\|_q}{\|g_k\|_p} &\geq \frac{(|r_k|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_{I_{2k}} f - \alpha v\|_q^q + |s_k|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_{I_{2k+1}} - \alpha v\|_q^q)^{1/q}}{(|r_k|^p + |s_k|^p)^{1/p}} \\ &\geq \gamma \varepsilon \frac{(|r_k|^q + |s_k|^q)^{1/q}}{(|r_k|^p + |s_k|^p)^{1/p}} \geq \gamma \varepsilon 2^{1/q-1/p} \end{aligned}$$

which together with the previous estimate gives

$$a_{N+1}(T) \geq \gamma^2 2^{1/q-1/p-3/2}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Using the properties of approximation numbers on dual operators we can extend the previous result

Lemma 3.4 *Let $2 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and suppose that $T : L^p(0, d) \rightarrow L^q(0, d)$ is bounded. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose that there exist $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and numbers $d_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, 4N$ with $0 = d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_{4N} < d$ such that $A(I_k) \geq \varepsilon$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, where $I_k = (d_k, d_{k+1})$. Then $a_N(T) \geq c\varepsilon$ where c is positive and depends only on p, d .*

Proof: The adjoint of T, T' , is bounded from $L^{q'}$ into $L^{p'}$. It is easy to see that Lemma 3.2 holds for T replaced by T' . Then the proof follows immediately from Proposition 2.5 and Remark 2.6 in [3]. \square

Lemma 3.5 *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$ and suppose that $T : L^p(0, d) \rightarrow L^q(0, d)$ is bounded. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose that there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and numbers $d_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, 4N$ with $0 = d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_{4N} < d$ such that $A(I_k) \geq \varepsilon$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, where $I_k = (d_k, d_{k+1})$. Then $a_N(T) \geq c\varepsilon n^{1/q-1/2}$ where c is positive and depends only on p, d .*

Proof: Let $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then there exist functions $f_k \in L^p(I_k)$ such that $\|f_k\|_{p, I_k} = 1$ and

$$\inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|Tf_k - \alpha v\|_{q, I_k} \geq \gamma A(I_k) \geq \gamma\varepsilon. \quad (34)$$

By definition of the approximation numbers there is a bounded linear mapping with rank $P \leq N$ such that

$$a_{N+1}(T) \geq \gamma \|T - P\|_{p \rightarrow q}.$$

Write $P = \sum_{i=1}^N P_i$ and let J_i be as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. In the notation of Lemma 3.3, in this case we also have $\|h_i\|_q = \|Tg_i\|_q < \infty$ and $\int_0^d h_i(t)dt = \int_{J_i} h(t)dt$, so that

$$\text{supp } h_i \subset J_i \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, 2N - 1,$$

whence, by Lemma 2.18, there exists an integer $k, 0 \leq k \leq 2N - 1$, such that

$$\text{dist}_q(h_k, X) \geq \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} n^{1/q-1/2} \|h_k\|_q,$$

which gives

$$a_{N+1}(T) \geq \gamma \|T - P\|_{p \rightarrow q}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \sup_{f \in L^p, \text{supp } f \subset J_k} \frac{\gamma \|Tf - Pf\|_q}{\|f\|_p} \\
&\geq \frac{\gamma \|Tg_k - Pg_k\|_q}{\|g_k\|_p} = \frac{\gamma \|h_k - Pg_k\|_q}{\|g_k\|_p} \\
&\geq \gamma \frac{\text{dist}_q(h_k, X)}{\|g_k\|_p} \geq \frac{\gamma}{3\sqrt{2}} \frac{\|h_k\|_q}{\|g_k\|_p} n^{1/q-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2, (34) and the inequality

$$(|r_k|^p + |s_k|^p)^{1/p} \leq 2^{1/p-1/q} (|r_k|^p + |s_k|^p)^{1/p}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|h_k\|_q}{\|g_k\|_p} &\geq \frac{(|r_k|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_{I_{2^k}} f - \alpha v\|_q^q + |s_k|^q \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|T_{I_{2^{k+1}}} f - \alpha v\|_q^q)^{1/q}}{(|r_k|^p + |s_k|^p)^{1/p}} \\
&\geq \gamma \varepsilon \frac{(|r_k|^q + |s_k|^q)^{1/q}}{(|r_k|^p + |s_k|^p)^{1/p}} \geq \gamma \varepsilon 2^{1/q-1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

which gives with the previous estimate

$$a_{N+1}(T) \geq \gamma^2 c \varepsilon n^{1/q-1/2}$$

for fixed $c > 0$ and finishes the proof. \square

The following theorem follows immediately from the previous lemmas. It improves results from [1] and [5].

Theorem 3.6 *Suppose that T is compact (see Proposition 2.2 and Remark 2.3). Then, for small $\varepsilon > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$*

$$a_{N(\varepsilon)+1}(T) \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$

$$a_{\lfloor \frac{N(\varepsilon)}{4} \rfloor - 1}(T) > c\varepsilon,$$

and for $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$

$$a_{\lfloor \frac{N(\varepsilon)}{4} \rfloor - 1}(T) > c\varepsilon N(\varepsilon)^{1/q-1/2}.$$

Here $N(\varepsilon) \equiv N((0, d), \varepsilon)$ is defined in (21) and $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x .

Proof: The first inequality is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1 and definition of $N(\varepsilon)$. The second inequality follows from Lemmas 2.4, 3.1 and 3.2. \square

4 Local asymptotic result

The first part of this section is devoted to proving lemmas that will be needed in the proof of our local asymptotic results, which we present in the second part.

Lemma 4.1 *Let u and v be constant functions on the interval $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$ and let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. Then $A(I) := A(I, u, v) = |u||v||I|^{1/p'+1/q}A((0, 1), 1, 1)$.*

Proof: If $u = 0$ then $A(I, u, v) = 0$ and the assertion is trivial. Assume that $u \neq 0$. Using the substitutions $y = \frac{x-a}{b-a}$ and $t = a + s(b-a)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(I, u, v) &= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|v \left(\int_a^x u f(t) dt - \alpha \right)\|_{q,I} \\ &= |v||u| \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I} \leq 1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \left\| \int_a^x f(t) dt - \alpha \right\|_{q,I} \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} (b-a)^{1-1/q} \left\| \int_0^y f(a + s(b-a)) ds - \alpha \right\|_{q,(0,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing $g(s) = f(a + s(b-a))$ we have $\|g\|_{p,(0,1)} = (b-a)^{-1/p} \|f\|_{p,(a,b)}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} A(I, u, v) &= |v||u||I|^{1+1/q} \sup_{\|g\|_{p,(0,1)}=(b-a)^{-1/p}} \left\| \int_a^x g(t) dt - \alpha \right\|_{q,(0,1)} \\ &= |v||u||I|^{1/p'+1/q} \sup_{\|g\|_{p,(0,1)}=1} \left\| \int_a^x g(t) dt - \alpha \right\|_{q,(0,1)} \\ &= |v||u||I|^{1/p'+1/q} A((0, 1), 1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4.2 *Let $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, $u_1, u_2 \in L^{p'}(I)$ and $v \in L^q(I)$. Then*

$$|A(I, u_1, v) - A(I, u_2, v)| \leq \|v\|_{q,I} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{p',I}$$

Proof: Suppose first that $A(I, u_1, v) \geq A(I, u_2, v)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A(I, u_1, v) - A(I, u_2, v) &= \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|v(x) \left(\int_a^x (u_1(t) - u_2(t) + u_2(t))f(t)dt - \alpha \right)\|_{q,I} - A(I, u_2, v) \\
&\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \left[\|v(x) \int_a^x (u_1(t) - u_2(t))f(t)dt\|_{q,I} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|v(x) \left(\int_a^x u_2(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right)\|_{q,I} \right] - A(I, u_2, v) \\
&\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \left[\|v\|_{q,I} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{p',I} + \|v(x) \left(\int_a^x u_2(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right)\|_{q,I} \right] \\
&\quad - A(I, u_2, v) \\
&\leq \|v\|_{q,I} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{p',I} + A(I, u_2, v) - A(I, u_2, v).
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining case can be proved analogously. \square

Lemma 4.3 *Let $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, $u \in L^p(I)$, and $v_1, v_2 \in L^q(I)$. Then*

$$|A(I, u, v_1) - A(I, u, v_2)| \leq 3\|v_1 - v_2\|_{q,I} \|u\|_{p',I}$$

Proof: If $A(I, u, v_1) \geq A(I, u, v_2)$ then by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(I, u, v_1) - A(I, u, v_2) = \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} \|v_1(x) \left[\int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right]\|_{q,I} - A(I, u, v_2) \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq 2\|u\|_{p',I}} \|v_1(x) \left[\int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right]\|_{q,I} - A(I, u, v_2) \\
&\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq 2\|u\|_{p',I}} \left[\|(v_1(x) - v_2(x)) \left(\int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right)\|_{q,I} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|v_2(x) \left(\int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right)\|_{q,I} \right] - A(I, u, v_2) \\
&\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq 2\|u\|_{p',I}} \left[\|(v_1(x) - v_2(x))\|_{q,I} \|u\|_{p',I} \|f\|_{p,I} + \|(v_1 - v_2)\alpha\|_{q,I} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|v_2 \left(\int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right)\|_{q,I} \right] - A(I, u, v_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq 3\|v_1 - v_2\|_{q,I}\|u\|_{p',I} \\
& + \sup_{\|f\|_{p,I}=1} \inf_{|\alpha| \leq \|u\|_{p',I}} \|v_2(x) \left[\int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt - \alpha \right]\|_{q,I} - A(I, u, v_2) \\
& = 3\|v_1 - v_2\|_{q,I}\|u\|_{p',I}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we prove a local asymptotic result which in some sense extends those in [2] and [5]:

Lemma 4.4 *Let $I = (a, b) \subset (0, d)$, $|I| < \infty$ and $1 < p \leq q \leq \infty$. Assume that $u \in L^{p'}(I)$ and $v \in L^q(I)$. Set $r = \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$. Then*

$$c_1 \alpha_{p,q} \int_I |uv|^r \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon, I) \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon, I) \leq c_2 \alpha_{p,q} \int_I |uv|^r,$$

where $\alpha_{p,q} = A((0, 1), 1, 1)$.

Proof: Set $s = \frac{p'}{q} + 1$. Clearly,

$$rs = p', \quad rs' = q. \tag{35}$$

Let $l \in \mathbf{N}$ be fixed. Then by the absolute convergence of the Lebesgue integral and the Luzin Theorem there exists $m := m(l) \in \mathbf{N}$, $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^m \in \mathcal{P}$ and real numbers ξ_j, η_j such that setting

$$u_l = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j \chi_{W_j}, \quad v_l = \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j \chi_{W_j},$$

we have

$$\|u - u_l\|_{p',I} < 1/l, \quad \|v - v_l\|_{q,I} < 1/l.$$

and

$$\| |u|^r - |u_l|^r \|_{s,I} < 1/l, \quad \| |v|^r - |v_l|^r \|_{s',I} < 1/l.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_I |u|^r |v|^r - \int_I |u_l|^r |v_l|^r \right| \\
& \leq \int_I |u|^r \left| |v_l|^r - |v|^r \right| + \int_I |v_l|^r \left| |u_l|^r - |u|^r \right| \\
& \leq (\|u\|_{p',I} \left\| |v_l|^r - |v|^r \right\|_{s',I} + \left\| |u_l|^r - |u|^r \right\|_{s,I} \| |v_l|^r \|_{q,I}) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{l} (\|u\|_{p'} + \|v_l\|_q) \leq \frac{1}{l} (\|u\|_{p'} + \|v - v_l\|_q + \|v_l\|_q) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{l} \left(\frac{1}{l} + \|u\|_{p',I} + \|v\|_q \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Put $N(\varepsilon) = N(\varepsilon, I)$. According to Lemma 2.8 there is a system of intervals $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$A(I_1) \leq \varepsilon, \quad A(I_{N(\varepsilon)}) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad A(I_i) = \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon).$$

Define,

$$J_i = I_{2i} \cup I_{2i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N(\varepsilon)/2, \quad \text{for even } N(\varepsilon)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_i &= I_{2i} \cup I_{2i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, (N(\varepsilon) - 3)/2, \\
J_{(N(\varepsilon)-1)/2} &= J_{N(\varepsilon)-2} \cup J_{N(\varepsilon)-1} \cup J_{N(\varepsilon)} \quad \text{for odd } N(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

In both cases $\{J_i\}_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{N(\varepsilon)}{2} \rfloor} \in \mathcal{P}$ and according to the definition of $N(\varepsilon)$, $A(J_i) > \varepsilon$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{N(\varepsilon)}{2} \rfloor$. Let $W_i = [d_{i-1}, d_i]$, where $a = d_0 < d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_m = b$. Set

$$\mathcal{K} = \{J_i; 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n(\varepsilon)}{2} \rfloor \text{ and there exists } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \text{ such that } J_i \subset W_j\}.$$

If $J_i \notin \mathcal{K}$, there exists $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $d_k \in \text{int}(J_i)$. The number of such intervals J_i can be estimate by $m-1$. Then $\#\mathcal{K} \geq \lfloor \frac{N(\varepsilon)}{2} \rfloor - m + 1$. Using Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 one sees that

$$\begin{aligned}
& ([N(\varepsilon)/2] - m - 1) \varepsilon^r \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{K}} A^r(I_k; u, v) \\
& \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{K}} [A(I_k; u_l, v_l) + (A(I_k; u, v) - A(I_k; u_l, v)) + (A(I_k; u_l, v) - A(I_k; u_l, v_l))]^r \\
& \leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \sum_{k \in \mathbf{K}} \left(A^r(I_k; u_l, v_l) + |A(I_k; u, v) - A(I_k; u_l, v)|^r \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + |A(I_k; u_l, v) - A(I_k; u_l, v_l)|^r \right) \\
& \leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \left[\alpha_{p,q}^r \sum_{j=1}^m |\xi_j|^r |\eta_j|^r |W(j)| + \sum_j^m \|u - u_l\|_{p',W(j)}^r \|v\|_{q,W(j)}^r \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^m \|v - v_l\|_{q, W(j)}^r \|u\|_{p', W(j)}^r].$$

Using the discrete version of Hölder's inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^s \right)^{1/s} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^{s'} \right)^{1/s'}$$

and (35) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ([N(\varepsilon)/2] - m + 1)\varepsilon^r &\leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \left(\alpha_{p,q}^r \sum_{j=1}^m |\xi_j|^r |\eta_j|^r |W_j| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|u - u_l\|_{p', W_j}^{p'} \right)^{1/s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|v\|_{q, W_j}^q \right)^{1/s'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|v - v_l\|_{q, W_j}^q \right)^{1/s'} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|u\|_{p', W_j}^{p'} \right)^{1/s} \right) \\ &\leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \left(\alpha_{p,q}^r \int_I |uv|^r + \frac{1}{l} \left(\frac{1}{l} + \|u\|_{p', I} + \|v\|_{q, I} \right) + \frac{1}{l^r} (\|u\|_{p', I}^r + \|v\|_{q, I}^r) \right) \\ &\leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \left(\alpha_{p,q}^r \int_I |uv|^r + \frac{1}{l} \left(\frac{1}{l} + \|u\|_{p', I} + \|v\|_{q, I} \right) + \frac{1}{l^r} (\|u\|_{p', I}^r + \|v\|_{q, I}^r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is a constant $c_1 > 0$ independent of ε and l such that

$$([N(\varepsilon)/2] - m + 1)\varepsilon^r \leq c_1 \left(\int_I |uv|^r + \frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{l^r} \right) \quad (36)$$

Let $I_i = [c_{i-1}, c_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N(\varepsilon)$. Thus, $a = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_{N(\varepsilon)} = b$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{e_k : 1 \leq k \leq M\}$ stand for the set-theoretic union of $\{c_i : 1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)\}$ and $\{d_j : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, so that $a = e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e_M = b$ and write $L_k = [e_{k-1}, e_k]$. Then $\{L_k\}_{k=1}^M \in \mathcal{P}$ and for each $1 \leq k \leq M$ there exists i , $1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)$ such that $L_k \subset I_i$ and, consequently, by Lemma 2.4 it is $A(L_k) \leq A(I_i) \leq \varepsilon$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{p,q}^r \int_I |uv|^r &\leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \alpha_{p,q}^r \left(\int_I |u_l v_l|^r + \int_I |u - u_l|^r |v|^r + \int_I |u_l|^r |v - v_l|^r \right) \\ &\leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \alpha_{p,q}^r \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\xi_j|^r |\eta_j|^r |W_j| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|u - u_l\|_{p', W_j}^{p'} \right)^{1/s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|v\|_{q, W_j}^q \right)^{1/s'} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|v - v_l\|_{q, W_j}^q \right)^{1/s'} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|u\|_{p', W_j}^{p'} \right)^{1/s} \\
& \leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \alpha_{p,q}^r \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\{k; L_k \subset W_j\}} |\xi_j|^r |\eta_j|^r |L_k| + \frac{1}{l^r} (\|u\|_{p', I}^r + \|v\|_{q, I}^r) \right) \\
& \leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\{k; L_k \subset W_j\}} A^r(L_k, \xi_j, \eta_j) + \frac{\alpha_{p,q}^r}{l^r} (\|u\|_{p', I}^r + \|v\|_{q, I}^r) \right) \\
& \leq \max(1, 3^{r-1}) \left((N(\varepsilon) + m) \varepsilon^r + \frac{\alpha_{p,q}^r}{l^r} (\|u\|_{p', I}^r + \|v\|_{q, I}^r) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists $c_2 > 0$, independent of ε and l such that

$$\int_I |uv|^r \leq c_2 \left((N(\varepsilon) + m) \varepsilon^r + \frac{1}{l^r} \right).$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+$ here and in (36) we obtain for each l

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon) \leq 2c_1 \left(\int_I |uv|^r + \frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{l^r} \right)$$

and

$$\int_I |uv|^r \leq c_2 \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \left(\varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{l^r} \right).$$

The lemma follows letting $l \rightarrow \infty$. \square

The latter lemma coupled with Theorem 3.4 yields the following theorem:

Theorem 4.5 *Let $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 < p \leq q < \infty$, $\|v\|_q < \infty$, $\|u\|_{p'} < \infty$ and $u, v > 0$. Then*

$$c_1 \int_0^d |uv|^r \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n^r(T) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n^r(T) \leq c_2 \int_0^d |uv|^r.$$

Let $1 < p < 2 < q < \infty$, $\|v\|_q < \infty$, $\|u\|_{p'} < \infty$ and $u, v > 0$. Then

$$c_3 \int_0^d |uv|^r \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{(1/2-1/q)r+1} a_n^r(T) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n^r(T) \leq c_4 \int_0^d |uv|^r.$$

where $r = \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$.

5 The main result

For the remainder of this section we assume that $\int_0^d |u(t)|^{p'} dt = \infty$. Furthermore, we set $U(x) := \int_0^x |u(t)|^{p'} dt$. Let $\{\xi_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$U(\xi_k) = 2^{\frac{kp'}{q}}, \quad (37)$$

and

$$\sigma_k := 2^{k/q} \|v\|_{q, Z_k}, \quad Z_k = [\xi_k, \xi_{k+1}]. \quad (38)$$

The sequence $\{\sigma_k\}$ is the analogue of the sequence defined in [2] and [5], which in turn, was motivated by a similar sequence introduced in [8].

The following technical lemmas play a central role in this section.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $r > 0$, $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $k_0 \leq k_1$. Let $I = (a, b) \subset \cup_{k=k_0}^{k_1} Z_k$. Then*

$$J^r(I) \leq 4^{r/q} \max_{k_0 \leq k \leq k_1} \sigma_k^r.$$

Proof: Let $x \in (a, b)$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $k_0 \leq n \leq k_1$ such that $x \in Z_n$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^x |u|^{p'} \right)^{r/p'} \|v\chi_{(x,b)}\|_q^r &\leq \left(\int_0^{\xi_{n+1}} |u|^{p'} \right)^{r/p'} \|v\chi_{(\xi_n, \xi_{k_1+1})}\|_q^r \\ &\leq 2^{(n+1)r/q} \left(\sum_{i=n}^{k_1} \|v\chi_{(\xi_i, \xi_{i+1})}\|_q^q \right)^{r/q} = 2^{(n+1)r/q} \left(\sum_{i=n}^{k_1} \frac{\sigma_i^q}{2^i} \right)^{r/q} \\ &\leq 2^{(n+1)r/q} \left(\max_{i=n, \dots, k_1} \sigma_i^q \right)^{r/q} 2^{(1-n)r/q} = 4^{r/q} \max_{i=n, \dots, k_1} \sigma_i^r. \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$J^r(I) \leq 4^{r/q} \max_{k_0 \leq k \leq k_1} \sigma_k^r.$$

□

Lemma 5.2 *Let $r \geq \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$, $I_i = (a_i, b_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $b_i \leq a_{i+1}$, $1 \leq l-1$.*

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\cup_{i=1}^l I_i \subset Z_k$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^l J^r(I_i) \leq (2^{p'/q} - 1)^{r/p'} \sigma_k^r.$$

Proof: Set $s = (p' + q)/p'$. Thus $s > 1$ and $p'/s' = q/s = p'q/(p' + q)$. Fix $x_i \in (a_i, b_i)$. According to the assumption $r \geq \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$ we have $r \geq p'/s'$, $r \geq q/s$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^l \left(\int_{a_i}^{x_i} |u|^{p'} \right)^{r/p'} \|v\chi_{(x_i, b_i)}\|_q^r &\leq \sum_{i=1}^l \|u\chi_{I_i}\|_{p'}^r \|v\chi_{I_i}\|_q^r \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \|u\chi_{I_i}\|_{p'}^{rs'} \right)^{1/s'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \|v\chi_{I_i}\|_q^{rs} \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \|u\chi_{I_i}\|_{p'}^{p'} \right)^{r/p'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \|v\chi_{I_i}\|_q^q \right)^{r/q} \\ &\leq \|u\chi_{Z_k}\|_{p'}^r \|v\chi_{Z_k}\|_q^r = (2^{p'/q} - 1)^{r/p'} \sigma_k^r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^l J^r(I_i) = \sum_{i=1}^l \sup_{x_i \in I_i} \left(\int_{a_i}^{x_i} |u|^{p'} \right)^{r/p'} \|v\chi_{(x_i, b_i)}\|_q^r \leq (2^{p'/q} - 1)^{r/p'} \sigma_k^r.$$

□

Lemma 5.3 Let $\cup_{i=1}^l I_i \subset \cup_{k=k_0}^{k_1} Z_k$ and $r \geq \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^l J^r(I_i) \leq ((2^{p'/q} - 1)^{r/p'} + 2^{1+2r/q}) \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} \sigma_k^r.$$

Proof: Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\} : \text{there exists } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } \xi_k \in \text{int} I_i\}, \\ B &= \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\} : \text{there exists } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } I_i \subset Z_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $A \cup B = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. By Lemma 5.2 we obtain

$$\sum_{i \in B} J^r(I_i) \leq (2^{p'/q} - 1)^{r/p'} \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} \sigma_k^r. \quad (39)$$

Set $A_i = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{int}(I_i \cap Z_k) \neq \emptyset\}$ for $i \in A$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i; i \in A\}$. Since each k belongs at most to two elements of \mathcal{A} , Lemma 5.1 yields

$$\sum_{i \in A} J^r(I_i) \leq 4^{r/q} \sum_{i \in A} \max_{k \in A_i} \sigma_k^r \leq 4^{r/q} 2 \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} \sigma_k^r.$$

which coupled, with (39) yields the assertion of this lemma. \square

Lemma 5.4 *Let K_1, K_2 be the constants from Proposition 1. Then*

$$K_1 \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k \leq \|T\| \leq 4^{1/q} K_2 \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k.$$

Moreover, T is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq n} \sigma_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_{k \leq n} \sigma_k = 0.$$

Proof: Let $(a, b) \subset (0, d)$. Set

$$a(\varepsilon) = a + \varepsilon, \quad b(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} b - \varepsilon & \text{if } b < \infty, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} & \text{if } b = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Define a function $f(\varepsilon, x)$ by

$$f(\varepsilon, x) = \left(\int_{a(\varepsilon)}^x |u|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_x^{b(\varepsilon)} |v|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Since $f(\varepsilon, x) \nearrow f(0, x)$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+$ and any fixed x we have

$$J(a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)) = \sup_{a(\varepsilon) \leq x \leq b(\varepsilon)} f(\varepsilon, x) \nearrow \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} f(0, x) = J(a, b).$$

Choosing $a = 0, b = d$ we have by Lemma 5.1

$$J(a(\varepsilon), b(\varepsilon)) \leq 4^{1/q} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k$$

and consequently,

$$J(a, b) \leq 4^{1/q} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k.$$

By the definition of σ_k it is easy to see that $\sigma_k \leq J(0, d)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ which implies

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k \leq J(a, b).$$

Now, the first part of our lemma follows by applying Lemma 1.

The second part can be proved analogously by using Proposition 2.2. \square

Lemma 5.5 *Let $I' = [a', b'] \subset I = [a, b] \subset [0, d]$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^{N(I, \varepsilon)} \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ and $A(I_i) \leq \varepsilon$. Set $\mathcal{K} = \{i; I_i \subset I'\}$, $K = \#\mathcal{K}$. Then*

$$K - 2 \leq N(I, \varepsilon) \leq K + 2.$$

Proof: Let $\{I'_i\}_{i=1}^{N(I', \varepsilon)} \in \mathcal{P}(I')$, $A(I'_i) \leq \varepsilon$. Let $I_i = [a_i, a_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N(I, \varepsilon)$, and $I'_j = [a'_j, a'_{j+1}]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N(I', \varepsilon)$ and put $k_0 = \min \mathcal{K}$ and $k_1 = \max \mathcal{K}$. Write

$$S_1 = \begin{cases} \{[a', a_{k_0}]\} & \text{if } a' < a_{k_0}, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } a' = a_{k_0}, \end{cases} \quad S_2 = \begin{cases} \{[a_{k_1+1}, b']\} & \text{if } a_{k_1+1} < b', \\ \emptyset & \text{if } a_{k_1+1} = b'. \end{cases}$$

Remark that by Lemma 2.4, $A(\tilde{I}) \leq \varepsilon$ for each $\tilde{I} \in S_1 \cup S_2$. Take a system of intervals $\mathcal{L} = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \{I_i; i \in \mathcal{K}\}$ so that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}(I')$ and $A(\tilde{I}) \leq \varepsilon$ for $\tilde{I} \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus, by the definition of $N(I', \varepsilon)$ one has

$$N(I', \varepsilon) \leq \#\mathcal{L} \leq \#\mathcal{K} + 2 = K + 2.$$

To prove the inequality $K - 2 \leq N(I', \varepsilon)$ set

$$S'_1 = \begin{cases} \{[a_{k_0-1}, a']\} & \text{if } a_{k_0-1} < a', \\ \emptyset & \text{if } a_{k_0-1} = a', \end{cases} \quad S'_2 = \begin{cases} \{[b', a_{k_1+2}]\} & \text{if } b' < a_{k_1+2}, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } b' = a_{k_1+2}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $A(\tilde{I}) \leq \varepsilon$ for $\tilde{I} \in S'_1 \cup S'_2$. Denote $\mathcal{N}_0 = \{I_i; I_i \subset [a, a']\}$, $\mathcal{N}_1 = \{I_i; I_i \subset [b', b]\}$ and set $n_0 = \#\mathcal{N}_0$, $n_1 = \#\mathcal{N}_1$. Take a system of intervals

$$\mathcal{L}' = S'_1 \cup S'_2 \cup \mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}_1 \cup \{I'_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, N(I', \varepsilon)\}.$$

Since, $A(\tilde{I}) \leq \varepsilon$ for any $\tilde{I} \in \mathcal{L}'$ and by definition of $N(I, \varepsilon)$, $N(I, \varepsilon) \leq \#\mathcal{L}'$. Moreover, since

$$n_0 + n_1 + K \leq N(I, \varepsilon) \leq n_0 + n_1 + K + 2$$

and

$$n_0 + n_1 + N(I', \varepsilon) \leq \#\mathcal{L}' \leq n_0 + n_1 + N(I', \varepsilon) + 2$$

we obtain

$$n_0 + n_1 + K \leq n_0 + n_1 + N(I', \varepsilon) + 2$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.6 *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $r = \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$. Let $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_i^r < \infty$. Then T is*

compact, $\int_0^d |uv|^r < \infty$ and there are positive constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$c_1 \int_0^d |uv|^r \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon) \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon) \leq c_2 \int_0^d |uv|^r.$$

Proof: By Lemma 5.4, T is compact. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and set $s = p'/q + 1$. It follows that $rs = p'$, $rs' = q$ and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{Z_k} |uv|^r \leq \left(\int_{\xi_k}^{\xi_{k+1}} |u|^{p'} \right)^{r/p'} \left(\int_{\xi_k}^{\xi_{k+1}} |v|^q \right)^{r/q}.$$

Moreover by the definition of ξ_k one has

$$(2^{p'/q} - 1)^{1/p'} \left(\int_0^{\xi_k} |u|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} = \left(\int_{\xi_k}^{\xi_{k+1}} |u|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'}$$

and consequently,

$$\int_{Z_k} |uv|^r \leq (2^{p'/q} - 1)^{r/p'} \sigma_k^r. \quad (40)$$

This proves $\int_0^d |uv|^r < \infty$.

Fix $\delta > 0$. Take $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sum_{i \leq k_0 - 1} \sigma_i^r + \sum_{i \geq k_1} \sigma_i^r \leq ((2^{p'/q} - 1)^{r/p'} + 2^{1+2r/q})^{-1} \delta.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} \in \mathcal{P}(0, d)$, $A(I_j) \leq \varepsilon$. Remark that according to the definition of $N(\varepsilon)$, $A(I_j \cup I_{j+1}) > \varepsilon$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N(\varepsilon) - 1$. Set $I = [\xi_{k_0}, \xi_{k_1}]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_0 &= \{I_j; I_j \subset [0, \xi_{k_0}]\}, & n_0(\varepsilon) &= \#\mathcal{N}_0, \\ \mathcal{N}_1 &= \{I_j; I_j \subset [\xi_{k_1}, d]\}, & n_1(\varepsilon) &= \#\mathcal{N}_1, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{N}} &= \{I_j; I_j \subset I\}, & \tilde{n}(\varepsilon) &= \#\tilde{\mathcal{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $N(\varepsilon) \leq \tilde{n}(\varepsilon) + n_0(\varepsilon) + n_1(\varepsilon) + 2$. By Lemma 5.5, $\tilde{n}(\varepsilon) - 2 \leq N(I, \varepsilon) \leq \tilde{n}(\varepsilon) + 2$. Since $n \leq 2(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)$ for any positive integer n , we obtain

$$\varepsilon^r (N(\varepsilon) - N(I, \varepsilon)) \leq \varepsilon^r (N(\varepsilon) - \tilde{n}(\varepsilon) + 2)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon^r (n_0(\varepsilon) + n_1(\varepsilon) + 4) \leq 2\varepsilon^r \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n_0(\varepsilon)}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n_1(\varepsilon)}{2} \right\rfloor + 3 \right).$$

For $j_0 = \min\{j; I_j \in \mathcal{N}_1(\varepsilon)\}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^r (N(\varepsilon) - N(I, \varepsilon) - 6) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n_0(\varepsilon)}{2} \right\rfloor} \varepsilon^r + \sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0 + \left\lfloor \frac{n_1(\varepsilon)}{2} \right\rfloor} \varepsilon^r \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n_0(\varepsilon)}{2} \right\rfloor} A^r(I_j \cup I_{j+1}) + \sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0 + \left\lfloor \frac{n_1(\varepsilon)}{2} \right\rfloor} A^r(I_j \cup I_{j+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A(I, \varepsilon) \leq J(I, \varepsilon)$ for $I \subset J$ and according to Lemma 5.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^r (N(\varepsilon) - N(I, \varepsilon) - 6) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n_0(\varepsilon)}{2} \right\rfloor} J^r(I_j \cup I_{j+1}) + \sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0 + \left\lfloor \frac{n_1(\varepsilon)}{2} \right\rfloor} J^r(I_j \cup I_{j+1}) \\ &\leq ((2^{p'/q} - 1)^{r/q} + 2^{1+2r/q}) \left(\sum_{i \leq k_0-1} \sigma_i^r + \sum_{i \geq k_1} \sigma_i^r \right) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon) \leq 2\delta + \varepsilon^r N(I, \varepsilon) + 6\varepsilon^r$$

and consequently,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon) \leq 2\delta + \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(I, \varepsilon). \quad (41)$$

Again Lemma 5.5, gives $N(I, \varepsilon) \leq \tilde{n} + 2 \leq N(\varepsilon) + 2$ and thus

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(I, \varepsilon) \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon). \quad (42)$$

By (40) we have

$$\left| \int_0^d |uv|^r - \int_I |uv|^r \right| \leq (2^{p',q} - 1)^{r/p'} \delta. \quad (43)$$

Using Lemma 4.4 one easily sees that

$$c_1 \alpha_{p,q} \int_I |uv|^r \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(I, \varepsilon) \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(I, \varepsilon) \leq c_2 \alpha_{p,q} \int_I |uv|^r$$

which yields with (41), (42) and (43) that for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \alpha_{p,q} \left(\int_0^d |uv|^r - (2^{p',q} - 1)^{r/p'} \delta \right) &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+} \varepsilon^r N(\varepsilon) \leq c_2 \alpha_{p,q} \left(\int_0^d |uv|^r \right) + 2\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$ we obtain our lemma. \square

Theorem 5.7 *Suppose that (13) and (14) are satisfied and let $r = \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$ and $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_i^r < \infty$.*

Let $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. Then

$$c_1 \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq c_2 \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt. \quad (44)$$

Let $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$. Then

$$c_3 \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{(1/2-1/q)r+1} a_n^r(T) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^r(T) \leq c_4 \int_0^d |u(t)v(t)|^r dt. \quad (45)$$

6 l^r and weak- l^r estimates

In this section we show that the L^r ($L^{r,\infty}$)-norms of $\{a_n(T)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, and $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ are equivalent for $r \geq \min_{s \geq 1} \max(p'/s', q/s)$.

Lemma 6.1 *Let $I = [a, b]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Set*

$$\sigma(\varepsilon) := \{k \in \mathbf{Z} : Z_k \subset I, \sigma_k > \varepsilon\}.$$

Suppose that σ_k contains at least four elements. Then

$$A(I) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4^{1/q}}.$$

Proof: Let $Z_{k_i}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < k_4$, be 4 distinct members of $\sigma(\varepsilon)$, and set $I_1 = (\xi_{k_1}, \xi_{k_2}), I_2 = (\xi_{k_2+1}, \xi_{k_4})$. Then, with $f_0 = \chi_{I_1} + \chi_{I_2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A(I) &\geq \inf_{\alpha} \|v(x) \left(\int_c^x |u(t)|f_0(t)dt - \alpha \right)\|_{q,I} \\
&\geq \inf_{\alpha} \max \left\{ \|v\|_{q,Z_{k_2}} \left| \int_{I_1} |u(t)f(t)|dt - \alpha \right|; \|v\|_{q,Z_{k_4}} \left| \int_{I_1 \cup I_2} |u(t)f(t)|dt - \alpha \right| \right\} \\
&= \inf_{\alpha} \max \left\{ \|v\|_{q,Z_{k_2}} |2^{k_2/q} - 2^{k_1/q} - \alpha|; \|v\|_{q,Z_{k_4}} |2^{k_2/q} - 2^{k_1/q} + 2^{k_4/q} - 2^{(k_2+1)/q} - \alpha| \right\} \\
&\geq \inf_{\alpha} \max \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{(k_2+1)/q}} |2^{k_2/q} - 2^{k_1/q} - \alpha|; \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{(k_4+1)/q}} |2^{k_2/q} - 2^{k_1/q} + 2^{k_4/q} - 2^{(k_2+1)/q} - \alpha| \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k_4/q} + 1} \frac{1}{2^{1/q}} (2^{k_4} - 2^{k_2+1}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4^{1/q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.2 *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\mathbf{K} = \{k \in \mathbf{Z}; \sigma_k > 2^{1/q}\varepsilon\}$. Then*

$$\#\mathbf{K} \leq 4N(\varepsilon) - 1.$$

Proof: Let $I_i = [c_{i-1}, c_i]$ and $i = 1, \dots, N(\varepsilon)$. Divide \mathbf{K} into two disjoint sets \mathbf{Z}_1 and \mathbf{Z}_2 by

$$\mathbf{Z}_1 = \{k \in \mathbf{K}; \text{there exists } j \in \{1, \dots, N(\varepsilon)\} \text{ such that } c_j \in Z_k\},$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{k \in \mathbf{K}; \text{there exists } j \in \{1, \dots, N(\varepsilon)\} \text{ such that } Z_k \in I_j\},$$

Clearly, $\#\mathbf{Z}_1 \leq N(\varepsilon) - 1$.

Say that $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ are equivalent if there exists j such that $Z_{k_1} \cup Z_{k_2} \subset I_j$. Denote the equivalence classes in \mathbf{Z}_2 by Y_1 and Y_2 . Assume $\#Y_i \geq 4$ for some i . Then there are k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 and j such that $Z_{k_1} \cup Z_{k_2} \cup Z_{k_3} \cup Z_{k_4} \subset I_j$. Using Lemma 6.1 with $2^{1/q}\varepsilon$ instead of ε , we have $A(I) > \varepsilon$ which contradicts the definition of $A(I)$. Then $\#Y_i \leq 3$ for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. Consequently, the mapping P defined by

$$P(i) = j \text{ if } Z_i \subset I_j \text{ for any } i \in \mathbf{Z}_2$$

is an injection and, therefore,

$$\#\mathbf{Z}_2 \leq 3N(\varepsilon).$$

Thus,

$$\#\mathbf{K} = \#\mathbf{Z}_1 + \#\mathbf{Z}_2 \leq 4N(\varepsilon) - 1$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.3 *Let $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. Then there are positive constants c_1, c_2, c_3 depending on p and q such that the inequality*

$$\#\{k; \sigma_k > t\} \leq c_1 \#\{k; a_k(T) \geq c_2 t\} + c_3$$

holds for all $t > 0$.

Proof: According to Lemma 3.4 there are two positive constants c_1, c_2 depending on p, q such that

$$a_{[c_1 N(\varepsilon)]-1}(T) > c_2 \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\#\{k; a_k(T) > c_2 \varepsilon\} \geq c_1 N(\varepsilon) - 2$$

and, according to Lemma 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{k; \sigma_k > t\} &\leq 4N\left(\frac{t}{2^{1/q}}\right) - 1 = \frac{4}{c_1} \left(c_1 N\left(\frac{t}{2^{1/q}}\right) - 2 \right) + \frac{4}{c_1} - 1 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{c_1} \#\left\{k; a_k(T) > \frac{c_2}{2^{1/q}} t\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows by writing c_1, c_2 and c_3 instead of $\frac{2}{c_1}, \frac{c_1}{2^{1/q}}$ and $\frac{4}{c_1} - 1$. \square

We recall the following well-know fact: given a countable set \mathcal{S} we have for any $p, 1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} |a_k|^p = p \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} \#\{k \in \mathcal{S}; |a_k| > t\} dt.$$

It is easy to see that also

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} |a_k|^p = p \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} \#\{k \in \mathcal{S}; |a_k| \geq t\} dt.$$

Lemma 6.4 *Let $r > 0$. There are constants $c_1 \geq 0$ and $c_2 \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|\{\sigma\}\|_{l^r(\mathbf{Z})}^r \leq c_1 \|\{a_k(T)\}\|_{l^r(\mathbf{N})}^r + c_2 \|\{\sigma\}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbf{Z})}^r$$

Proof: Set $\lambda = \|\{\sigma\}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbf{Z})}$. By Lemma 6.3 we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^r(\mathbf{Z})}^r &= r \int_0^\lambda t^{r-1} \#\{k \in \mathbf{Z}; \sigma_k > t\} dt \\
&\leq r \int_0^\lambda t^{r-1} (c_1 \#\{k; a_k(T) > c_2 t\} + c_3) dt \\
&= \frac{c_1}{c_2^{r+1}} q \int_0^\lambda t^{r-1} \#\{k; a_k(T) > t\} dt + c_3 \lambda^r \\
&= \frac{c_1}{c_2^{r+1}} \|\{a_k(T)\}\|_{l^r(\mathbf{N})}^r + c_3 \lambda^r,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 6.5 *Let $r > 0$. Then there is a positive constant c such that*

$$\|\{\sigma\}\|_{l^r(\mathbf{Z})} \leq c \|\{a_k(T)\}\|_{l^r(\mathbf{N})}.$$

Proof: By Remark 5.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbf{Z})} &\leq C \|T\| = C a_1(T) \\
&\leq C \|\{a_k(T)\}\|_{l^r(\mathbf{N})}.
\end{aligned}$$

The result then follows from Lemma 6.4. \square

Now, we tackle the remaining inequality:

Lemma 6.6 *Let $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ and $s > r = \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$. Then*

$$\|\{a_n(T)\}\|_{l^s} \leq c \|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^s}.$$

Proof: Let $I_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N(\varepsilon)$, be the collection of intervals given by (20) with $I = (a, b)$ and $N(\varepsilon) \equiv N((a, b), \varepsilon)$: note that in view of Lemma 2.1, we have $J(I_i) = \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq i < N(\varepsilon)$. We group the intervals I_i into families $\mathbf{F}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ such that each \mathbf{F}_j consists of the maximal number of those intervals I_{K-1} in the collection, which satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 : $I_{k_1} \subset (\xi_{k_0}, \xi_{k_2+1})$, for some k_0, k_2 , and the next interval I_k intersects Z_{k_2+1} (This construction is based on our construction from [2], for more see Lemma 5.1. and Section 6 in [2]). Hence, by Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, there is a positive constant c such that

$$\varepsilon^r \#\mathbf{F}_j \leq c \max_{k_0 \leq n \leq k_2} \sigma_n^r = c \sigma_{k_j}^r$$

It follows that, with $n_j = \lceil c \sigma_{k_j}^r / \varepsilon^r \rceil$,

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\varepsilon) &= \sum_j \#\mathcal{F}_j \\
&\leq \sum_j \sum_{n=1}^{n_j} 1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j:n_j \geq n} 1 \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \#\left\{j : \frac{c\sigma_{k_j}^r}{\varepsilon^r} \geq n\right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \#\left\{k : \sigma_k^r \geq \frac{n\varepsilon^r}{c}\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Thus, if $\{\sigma_k\} \in l^s(\mathbf{Z})$ for some $s \in (r, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
s \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} N(t) dt &\leq s \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \#\left\{k : \sigma_k^r > \frac{nt^r}{c}\right\} dt \\
&= sc^{s/r} \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s/r} z^{s-1} \#\{k : \sigma_k > z\} dz \\
&\preceq \|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^s(\mathbf{Z})}^s
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where \preceq stands for less than or equal to a positive constant multiple of the right hand side. From the inequality $N(\varepsilon) \leq M(\varepsilon)$ and Theorem 3.4, $a_{N(\varepsilon)+1}(T) \leq 2\varepsilon$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\#\{k \in \mathbf{N} : a_k(T) > t\} &\leq N(t/2) + 1 \\
&\leq M(t/2) + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\{a_k(T)\}\|_{l^s(\mathbf{N})}^s &= s \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} \#\{k \in \mathbf{N} : a_k(T) > t\} dt \\
&\leq s \int_0^{\|T\|} t^{s-1} \left[N\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + 1 \right] dt \\
&\preceq \|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^s(\mathbf{Z})}^s + \|T\|^s \\
&\preceq \|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^s(\mathbf{Z})}^s
\end{aligned}$$

by (47) and then, in virtue of Lemma 5.1 and Remark 5.5, $\|T\| \preceq \|\{\sigma_k(T)\}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbf{Z})} \leq \|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^q(\mathbf{Z})}$. \square

Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5 imply the following theorem:

Theorem 6.7 *Let $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$ and $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, $r = \frac{p'q}{p'+q}$ and $k > 0$.*

(i) Then there exists a positive constant c_1 such that

$$\|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^k(\mathbf{Z})} \leq c_1 \|\{a_k(T)\}\|_{l^k(\mathbf{N})}.$$

(ii) Let $s > r$. Then there is a positive constant c_2 such that

$$\|\{a_k\}\|_{l^s(\mathbf{N})} \leq c_2 \|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^s(\mathbf{Z})}.$$

(iii) Let $1 \leq j \leq \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant c_1 such that

$$\|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^{k,j}(\mathbf{Z})} \leq c_1 \|\{a_k(T)\}\|_{l^{k,j}(\mathbf{N})}.$$

(iv) Let $s > r$ and $1 \leq j \leq \infty$. Then there is a positive constant c_2 such that

$$\|\{a_k\}\|_{l^{s,j}(\mathbf{N})} \leq c_2 \|\{\sigma_k\}\|_{l^{s,j}(\mathbf{Z})}.$$

Proof: Claims (i) and (ii) follow from Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 6.5. The assertions (iii) and (iv) can be obtained from (i) and (ii), by using real interpolation on the scale $l^{p,q}$. \square

7 Appendix

In this section we show that the power of n in (23) is the best possible for $2 < p \leq \infty$. Given a square matrix of a dimension L .

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1L} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_{L1} & a_{L2} & \dots & a_{LL} \end{pmatrix} \quad (48)$$

we will denote, for $1 \leq I \leq L$, the i -th column of A by $u_i(A)$ and the i -th row of A by $v_i(A)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(A) &= (a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{Li}) \\ r_i(A) &= (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{iL}). \end{aligned}$$

By $h(A)$ denote the rank of A and by $u.v$ the canonical scalar product of vectors u and v , i. e.

$$u.v = \sum_{i=1}^L u_i v_i$$

where $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_L)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_L)$.

Lemma 7.1 *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L = 2^m$. Then there exists a square matrix A given by (48) such that*

$$|a_{ij}| = 1 \quad \text{for } \leq i, j \leq L \quad (49)$$

and

$$u_i(A) \cdot u_j(A) = 0 \quad \text{for } \leq i, j \leq L, i \neq j. \quad (50)$$

Proof: We use mathematical induction with respect to m . If $m = 1$ it suffices to take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that the matrix A given by (48) with $L = 2^m$ satisfies (49) and (50). Let B be a square matrix of a dimension $2L = 2^{m+1}$ given by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1L} & a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1L} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2L} & a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{L1} & a_{L2} & \dots & a_{LL} & a_{L1} & a_{L2} & \dots & a_{LL} \\ \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1L} & -a_{11} & -a_{12} & \dots & -a_{1L} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2L} & -a_{21} & -a_{22} & \dots & -a_{2L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{L1} & a_{L2} & \dots & a_{LL} & -a_{L1} & -a_{L2} & \dots & -a_{LL} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ A & -A \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that B satisfies (49) and (50). \square

Lemma 7.2 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $K = 2^n$, $L = K^2$. Then there exists a square*

matrix of a dimension $2L$,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & \dots & m_{1L} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & \dots & m_{2L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ m_{L1} & m_{L2} & \dots & m_{LL} \end{pmatrix},$$

such that

$$h(M) \leq L, \tag{51}$$

$$m_{ii} = K \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2L, \tag{52}$$

$$|m_{ij}| \leq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2L, i \neq j. \tag{53}$$

Proof: Since $L = 2^n$ we have by Lemma 7.1 a matrix A ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1L} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_{L1} & a_{L2} & \dots & a_{LL} \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfies (49) and (50). For $1 \leq i \leq L$, set

$$m_{ij} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq L, i \neq j, \\ K & \text{for } j = i, \\ a_{i,j-L} & \text{for } L+1 \leq j \leq 2L \end{cases} \tag{54}$$

and let r_1, r_2, \dots, r_L be $2L$ -dimensional vectors, $r_i = (m_{i1}, m_{i2}, \dots, m_{i,2L})$. Set for $1 \leq i \leq L$

$$r_{i+L} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^L a_{ji} r_j \tag{55}$$

Let M be the matrix consisting of the rows r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{2L} , i.e. $v_i(M) = r_i$. Denote the elements of M by m_{ij} , so that

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & \dots & m_{1,2L} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & \dots & m_{2,2L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ m_{2L,1} & m_{2L,2} & \dots & m_{2L,2L} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We claim that M satisfies (51), (52) and (53).

Let $L + 1 \leq i \leq 2L$. Then r_i is by (55) a linear combination of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_L and then $h(M) \leq L$.

Next, we calculate m_{ii} . If $1 \leq i \leq L$, $m_{ii} = K$ by (54). Let $L + 1 \leq i \leq 2L$ and write $s = i - L$. Then by (49) and (55) we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ii} = m_{s+L,s+L} &= \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^L m_{j,s+L} m_{j,s+L} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^L a_{js} a_{js} \\ &= \frac{1}{K} \|u_s(A)\|^2 = \frac{1}{K} L = K. \end{aligned}$$

We now (53). Calculate m_{ij} , $i \neq j$. We have four possibilities:

(i) If $1 \leq i, j \leq L$ then by (54) we have $m_{ij} = 0$ and thus, $m_{ij} = 0$ satisfies (53).

(ii) If $1 \leq i \leq L$, $L + 1 \leq j \leq 2L$ then $m_{ij} = a_{i,j-L}$ and due to (49) it is $|m_{ij}| \leq 1$.

(iii) If $L + 1 \leq i \leq 2L$, $1 \leq j \leq L$ then setting $s = i - L$ we have by (54) and (55)

$$m_{ij} = m_{s+L,j} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^L a_{ks} m_{kj} = \frac{1}{K} a_{js} m_{jj} = a_{js}$$

which gives by (49) $|m_{ij}| \leq 1$.

(iv) If $L + 1 \leq i \leq 2L$, $L + 1 \leq j \leq 2L$ denote $s = i - L$, $t = j - L$. By (54) and (55) we obtain

$$m_{ij} = m_{s+L,j} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^L a_{ks} m_{kj} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^L a_{ks} a_{kt} = \frac{1}{K} u_s(A) u_t(A)$$

which gives with (50) that $m_{ij} = 0$ and proves (53). \square

Let e_i denote the sequence which has 1 on i -th coordinate and 0 on other.

Lemma 7.3 *Let $2 < p \leq \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $K = 2^n$ and $L = K^2$. Then there exists a subspace X of l^p , $\dim X \leq L$ such that for each i , $1 \leq i \leq 2L$.*

$$\text{dist}_p(e_i, X) \leq \frac{2^{1/p}}{K^{1-2/p}}.$$

Proof: Let M be the matrix of rank $2L$ from Lemma 7.2. Set for $1 \leq i \leq 2L$

$$x_i = (m_{i1}, m_{i2}, \dots, m_{i,2L}, 0, 0, \dots).$$

and

$$X = \text{lin}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2L}\}.$$

By (51), $\dim X \leq L$.

Next, we estimate $\text{dist}_p(e_k, X)$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2L$.

Assume first $p < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_p^p(e_k, X) &\leq \|e_k - \frac{1}{K}x_k\|_p^p \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{1}{K}m_{k1}, \dots, \frac{1}{K}m_{k,k-1}, 0, \frac{1}{K}m_{k,k+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{K}m_{k,2L}, 0, 0, \dots \right) \right\|_p^p \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2L-1} \frac{1}{K^p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2L} \frac{1}{K^p} = \frac{2L}{K^p} = \frac{2}{K^{p-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $\text{dist}_p(e_k, X) \leq \frac{2^{1/p}}{K^{1-2/p}}$.

Next, assume $p = \infty$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_\infty(e_k, X) &\leq \|e_k - \frac{1}{K}x_k\|_\infty \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{1}{K}m_{k1}, \dots, \frac{1}{K}m_{k,k-1}, 0, \frac{1}{K}m_{k,k+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{K}m_{k,2L}, 0, 0, \dots \right) \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{K} \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Acknowledgment. J. Lang wishes to thanks the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic for support under grant No. 201/98/P017.

REFERENCES

- (1) D.E. Edmunds, W.D. Evans and D.J. Harris. Approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 37 (1988), 471–489.
- (2) D.E. Edmunds, W.D. Evans and D.J. Harris. Two-sided estimates of the approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators. *Studia Math.* 124 (1) (1997), 59–80.
- (3) D.E. Edmunds and W.D. Evans, Spectral Theory and Differential Operators, *Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford*, 1987.
- (4) D.E. Edmunds, P. Gurka and L. Pick. Compactness of Hardy-type integral operators in weighted Banach function spaces. *Studia Math.* 109 (1) (1994), 73–90.
- (5) W.D. Evans, D.J. Harris and J. Lang. Two-sided estimates for the approximation numbers of Hardy-type operators in L^∞ and L^1 . *Studia Math.* 130 (2) (1998), 171–192.
- (6) J. Lang, A. Nekvinda and L. Pick. Boundedness and compactness of integral operators with general kernels from a weighted Banach function space into L^∞ , *preprint*.
- (7) E. Lomakina and V. Stepanov. On asymptotic behavior of the Approximation numbers and estimates of Schatten - Von Neumann norms of the Hardy-type integral operators, *preprint*
- (8) J. Newman and M. Solomyak. Two-sided estimates of singular values for a class of integral operators on the semi-axis, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 20 (1994), 335–349.
- (9) B. Opic and A. Kufner, Hardy-type Inequalities, *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 219, Longman Sci. & Tech., Harlow*, 1990.
- (10) I. Singer, Best Approximation in Normed Linear Spaces by Elements of Linear Subspace, *Springer-Verlag, New York* 1970.
- (11) P. Wojtaszyk, Banach spaces for analysts, *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 25, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge* 1991.