# SPECTRA OF 1D PERIODIC DIRAC OPERATORS AND SMOOTHNESS OF POTENTIALS

#### PLAMEN DJAKOV AND BORIS MITYAGIN

ABSTRACT. Consider on [0, 1] the operator

$$Ly = i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dy}{dx} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p(x) \\ q(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} y, \qquad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where p(x), q(x) are 1-periodic functions, with Dirichlet  $(y_1(0) = y_2(0), y_1(1) = y_2(1))$ , periodic (y(0) = y(1)), or antiperiodic (y(0) = -y(1))boundary conditions. For large |n| the operator L has close to  $n\pi$  a triple of a Dirichlet eigenvalue  $\mu_n$  and periodic (if n is even), or antiperiodic (if nis odd) eigenvalues  $\lambda_n^+, \lambda_n^-$ . Let  $\Delta_n$  be the diameter of the spectral triangle with vertices  $\mu_n, \lambda_n^+, \lambda_n^-$ .

This note gives a series of results about the relationship between the decay rate of the sequence  $(\Delta_n)$  and the smoothness of the potential functions p and q (measured by appropriate weighted Hilbert norms). Moreover, finite-zone potentials are dense in the case where the Hilbertian norm is defined by subexponential weights; the potentials, which lead to divergent spectral decompositions, are dense as well.

#### 0. We consider Dirac operators

$$L = iJ\frac{d}{dx} + V, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p\\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on I = [0, 1] with boundary conditions (bc) of three types: for  $F = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \in H^1 \times H^1$ 

$$Per^+: F(0) = F(1); Per^-: F(0) = -F(1)$$

and

$$Dir: f_1(0) = f_2(0), \quad f_1(1) = f_2(1).$$

A potential V is assumed to be in  $L^2(I)$ , i.e. complex valued functions p, q are in  $L^2(I)$ . We consider them as periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , V(x+1) = V(x), and their smoothness is measured by a weight sequence

(1) 
$$\Omega(k) \ge 1, \quad \Omega(0) = 1, \quad \Omega(k) = \Omega(-k), \quad \Omega(k) \nearrow \infty,$$

i.e. 
$$V \in H(\Omega)$$
 if  $p = \sum p_k \exp(2\pi i k x)$   $q = \sum q_k \exp(2\pi i k x)$  and  
 $\|V|H(\Omega)\|^2 = \sum (|p_k|^2 + |q_k|^2) (\Omega(2k))^2 < \infty.$ 

The first author acknowledges the hospitality of The Mathematics Department of The Ohio State University during academic year 2002/2003.

If  $\Omega(k) = (1 + k^2)^{\alpha/2}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $H(\Omega)$  is a Sobolev space  $H^{\alpha}$ .

Operators L with symmetric (or skew-symmetric) matrix functions V help to solve - after Zaharov-Shabat [15] - non-linear Schroedinger equations. Although our results are new even for special V, let us point again that we consider any  $L^2$ -potentials with complex valued p, q.

1. To localize the spectrum  $\sigma_{bc}(L)$  we need the following

**Lemma 1.** ([11]) If  $V \in H(\Omega)$  and  $||V|L^2|| \le m$ ,  $||V|H(\Omega)|| \le M$  then  $\sigma_{bc}(L)$  lies in the domain

$$\Pi(X,Y) \cup \bigcup_{|k|>N} D_k$$

where

$$\Pi(X,Y) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |Rez| \le \pi N, |Imz| \le Y \},\$$

(2)

$$D_k = D(\pi k, \delta_k) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \pi k| < \delta_k \},$$

with

(3)  $Y = K(1+m^2), \quad N = \min\{n : \ \delta_n^2 \le 1/(20M)\}, \quad \delta_n = (1/\Omega(n) + 1/\sqrt{n})^{1/2}.$ Moreover, if

$$P_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_k} (z - L_{bc})^{-1} dz, \quad |k| > N,$$

then dim  $P_k = 2, 0, 1$  if  $bc = Per^+$ ,  $Per^-$ , Dir and k is even, and dim  $P_k = 0, 2, 1$  correspondingly if k is odd.

This lemma (see [11], Thm 1 and 2) improves (and completes) weaker versions of "Counting Lemma" given in [10], [6], [7]. It guarantees that each disk  $D_k$ , |k| > N, contains three and only three spectral points  $\lambda_k^+, \lambda_k^-, \mu_k$ , where  $\{\lambda_k^+, \lambda_k^-\} = \sigma(P_k L_{bc} P_k)$ ,  $bc = Per^+$  if k is even,  $bc = Per^-$  if k is odd, and  $\{\mu_k\} = \sigma(P_k L_{Dir} P_k)$ , all k, |k| > N. (We put  $\lambda_k^+ = \lambda_k^-$  if this is a double root of  $det(z - P_k L_{bc} P_k)$ ,  $bc = Per^{\pm}$ , even if its geometric multiplicity is *one*, i.e. this block  $P_k L_{bc} P_k$  is Jordan.)

In the case of symmetric V, and  $bc = Per^+$  or  $Per^-$ ,  $\lambda_k$ 's are real,  $\gamma_k = \lambda_k^+ - \lambda_k^-$  are spectral gaps of L on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In general case, we analyze relationship between the rate of decay of sequences

(4) 
$$\gamma_k = |\lambda_k^+ - \lambda_k^-|, \quad \delta_k = |\mu_k - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_k^+ - \lambda_k^-)|$$

and the smoothness of potential V.

We will often require that  $\Omega$  is a submultiplicative sequence, i.e.

(5)  $\Omega(k+n) \le \Omega(k)\Omega(n), \quad k, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

This inequality holds if  $\Omega(-n) = \Omega(n)$ , and

 $\Omega(n) = \exp h(n), n \ge 0, \quad h(0) = 0, h(n) \uparrow \infty, h - \text{ concave.}$ 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

A weight  $\Omega \in (1)$  is said to be *slowly increasing* if

(6) 
$$\sup_{n} \Omega(2n) / \Omega(n) < \infty.$$

We consider also a class of *rapidly increasing* weights of the form

(7) 
$$\Omega(n) = \exp(\varphi(\log |n|)), \quad |n| \ge 1,$$

where  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty), \ \varphi(0) = 0$ , is twice differentiable function such that (7.a)  $\varphi'(t) \nearrow \infty$  as  $t \nearrow \infty$ ;

(7.b) 
$$e^t/\varphi'(t) \nearrow \infty$$
 as  $t \nearrow \infty$ ;

(7.c)  $[\varphi'(t) - \varphi''(t)]/\log \varphi'(t) \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty.$ 

**Theorem 2.** If  $V \in H(\Omega)$ , and  $\Omega \in (5) + (1)$  then

(8) 
$$\sum |\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-|^2 (\Omega(2n))^2 < \infty.$$

### Theorem 3.

(9) 
$$\sum |\mu_n - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-)|^2 (\Omega(2n))^2 < \infty.$$

In the case of Schroedinger operators this type statements have been proven in [8], [9], and for Dirac operators - under special restrictions on growth of  $\Omega$ (at least, faster than  $n^{\delta}, \exists \delta > 0$ ) - in [6], [7].

We also follow the general schemes of [8], [9] but in technical details there are new essential difficulties. Eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_n^{\pm}\}, |n| \geq N_*, bc = Per^{\pm}, are$  roots of quasi-quadratic equation

(10) 
$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n^{11}(z) - z & \beta_n^{12}(z) \\ \beta_n^{21}(z) & \alpha_n^{22}(z) - z \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

(compare (2.14), p. 624 in [8], or (14), p. 93 in [4]).

This matrix comes from 2D operator  $P_k L_{bc} P_k$  where (with  $D_k$  from Lemma 1)

$$P_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_k} (z - L_{bc})^{-1} dz$$

With  $\alpha^{11} = \alpha^{22}$  (compare Lemma 2.2 in [8]) the distance between the two roots of (10) depends on  $\beta^{12}$  and  $\beta^{21}$ . We do not write the explicit formulas for  $\beta$ 's but some adjustment and simplification of their structure (with elimination of unessential dependence on z,  $|z| \leq \pi/2$ ) can be seen in formulas below.

**Lemma 4.** If  $\Omega \in (5) + (1)$  and  $V \in H(\Omega)$  then for  $|z| < \pi/2$ , and  $|n| \ge N_* = N_*(m, M)$ ,

$$\sum_{|n|\geq N} |\beta^{12}(n,z)|^2 (\Omega(2n))^2 \le \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} S_{\nu}\right)^2,$$

where

$$S_{\nu}^{2} := \sum_{|n| \ge N} |\beta_{\nu}^{12}(n, z)|^{2} (\Omega(2n))^{2} \le \sum_{|n| \ge N} \sigma_{\nu}^{2},$$
$$\sigma_{n} := \sum_{j_{1}, \dots, j_{2\nu} \ne n} \frac{r(n+j_{1})r(-j_{1}-j_{2})r(j_{2}+j_{3})\dots r(j_{2\nu}+n)}{|n-j_{1}||n-j_{2}|\dots|n-j_{2\nu}|},$$
$$m(m) = (|m(m)|| + |q(m)|)\Omega(m) \quad \text{and for } N \ge N.$$

with  $r(m) = (|p(m)| + |q(m)|)\Omega(m)$ , and for  $N \ge N_*$ ,

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{|n| \ge N} \sigma_{\nu}^{2}(n) \right)^{1/2} \le \frac{C \|r|\ell^{2}\|^{2}}{N^{2}} + \varepsilon(N) R^{2}(N),$$

where  $R^2(N) = \sum_{|n| \ge N} r^2(m)$ , and  $\varepsilon(N) \to 0$  as  $N \to 0$ .

This statement lists a series of inequalities which lead to Thm 2 and 3.

2. Now we go to the opposite direction.

**Theorem 5.** If V is symmetric, and  $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ , with  $\Omega$  satisfying (1), (5) and either (6) or (7.a-c) then (8) implies  $V \in H(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 6.** Under conditions of Thm 5 but without the assumption that V is symmetric, (8) together with (9) imply  $V \in H(\Omega)$ .

Again, the general plan of the proof follows our constructions in [4], [5]. But instead of non-linear equations (39) in [4], Thm 9, or (37) in [5], Prop 3, now we have to deal with an equation

(11) 
$$\xi_n = w(2n) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_{\nu}(n, w),$$

where

(12) 
$$\Psi_{\nu}(n,w) = \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_{2\nu}\neq n} \frac{w(n-j_1)w(j_1+j_2)(-j_2-j_3)\dots w(-j_{2\nu}+n)}{(n-j_1)\dots(n-j_{2\nu})}$$

Lemma 7. (compare [4], Thm 9 ). If

(13) 
$$w \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \quad and \quad \xi \in \ell^2(\Omega)$$

with  $\Omega$  as in Thm 5 then  $w \in \ell^2(\Omega)$ .

Remark. V. Tkachenko, [13], proved that in the case of geometric decay

(14) 
$$|\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-| + |\mu_n - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^+ + \lambda_n^-)| \le A \exp(-a|n|), \quad \exists A, a > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

the potential V is analytic as well, i.e.

 $|p_n| + |q_n| \le B \exp(-b|n|), \quad B, b > 0.$ 

However, like in Schroedinger case (compare the discussion in [4], Sect 5.4) the choice of b, the type of decay, or the width of the strip of analyticity of an extension of V(x), cannot be determined by a in (14). It depends on

 $||V|L^2||$  as well. This phenomenon leads us with necessity to restriction on the weight-sequences  $\Omega$  we are dealing with in Thm 5 and 6. Certainly, Gevrey weights

$$\Omega(n) = (1+n^2)^{\alpha} \exp(-a|n|^{\gamma}), \quad a > 0, \gamma \in (0,1),$$

satisfy these restrictions because (7.a-c) hold.

3. This section deals with *density* of good (Thm 8) or bad (Thm 9) potentials V. Theorems 8 and 9 are analogues of B.Mityagin [12] results in the case of Schroedinger-Hill operators.

We say that V is a finite-zone potential if for some  $N^* \ge N \in (3)$  we have  $\lambda_k^+ = \lambda_k^- = \mu_k$ ,  $|k| > N^*$  and  $P_k L_{bc} P_k$ ,  $bc = Per^{\pm}$ , are not Jordan.

## Theorem 8. If

(15) 
$$\sup \log \Omega(k)/k < \infty$$
,

then finite-zone potentials are dense in  $H(\Omega)$ . If V is symmetric (or skewsymmetric), i.e.  $q(x) = \overline{p(x)}$  (or  $q(x) = -\overline{p(x)}$ ), then approximating finitezone potentials can be chosen in the same class.

Quite different are potentials V's such that

(16)  $\lambda_k^+ \neq \lambda_k^-$  for  $|k| \ge N_{**} \ge N$ , k even if  $bc = Per^+$ , odd if  $bc = Per^-$ In this case 2D projectors

(17) 
$$P_k^{bc} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_k} (z - L_{bc})^{-1} dz$$

could be refined, i.e. presented in the form

(18) 
$$P_k F = \langle F, \Phi_{2k-1} \rangle E_{2k-1} + \langle F, \Phi_{2k} \rangle E_{2k},$$

with

$$||E_j|| = 1, \langle E_j, \Phi_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}, \quad |j|, |k| > N_{**}, \ \Phi_i \in L^2.$$

The spectral decompositions

(19) 
$$F = P_*^{bc}F + \sum P_k^{bc}F$$

where

$$P_*^{bc} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Pi} (z - L_{bc})^{-1} dz, \quad \Pi \in (2),$$

converge in  $L^2$  for any  $F \in L^2$ , (or even in  $L^p$  for any  $F \in L^p$ , 1 ) according to [11], Thm 3.

But if we want to write the eigenfunction decomposition

(20) 
$$F \equiv P_*^{bc} F + \sum \langle F, \Phi_j \rangle E_j$$

its convergence depends on boundedness of the sequence  $(\Phi_i) \in (18)$ .

**Theorem 9.** If (15) holds then in  $H(\Omega)$  there is a dense set of potentials V with the following properties:

(a) (16) holds, but

(b) decompositions (20) do not necessarily converge in  $L^2$  if  $F \in L^2$ ; more specifically,

$$\|\Phi_j\|_2 \to \infty \quad if \quad j \to \pm \infty.$$

### References

- S. Clark and F. Gesztesy, Weyl-Titchmarsh *M*-function asymptotics, local uniqueness results, trace formulas, and Borg-type theorems for Dirac operators. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no.9, 3475–3534.
- [2] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Smoothness of solutions of a ODE, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 44, no. 2, 149-171.
- [3] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Smoothness of solutions of ODE's, Mathematische Annalen, 324 (2002), 225-254.
- [4] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Smoothness of Schroedinger operator potential in the case of Gevrey type asymptotics of the gaps, Jour. Funct. Anal. 195 (2002), 89-128.
- [5] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, Spectral gaps of the periodic Schrödinger operator when its potential is an entire function, The Ohio State University Mathematical Research Institute Preprint 01-38, 32 pages.
- [6] B. Grébert, T. Kappeler and B. Mityagin, Gap estimates of the spectrum of the Zakharov-Shabat system, Appl. Math. Lett. 11 (1998), 95-97.
- [7] B. Grébert and T. Kappeler, Estimates on periodic and Dirichlet eigenvalues for the Zakharov-Shabat system, Asymptotic Analysis 25 (2001), 201-237.
- [8] T. Kappeler and B. Mityagin, Gap estimates of the spectrum of Hill's Equation and Action Variables for KdV, Trans. AMS 351 (1999), 619-646.
- [9] T. Kappeler and B. Mityagin, Estimates for periodic and Dirichlet eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2001), 113-152.
- [10] Y. Li and D. McLaughlin, Morse and Melnikov functions for NLS PDEs. Comm. Math. Phys. 162 (1994), no. 1, 175–214.
- [11] B. Mityagin, Convergence of spectral decompositions of periodic Dirac operator, Doklady Russian Academy of Sciences (Russian), to appear.
- [12] B. Mityagin, Density of finite-zone potentials, manuscript.
- [13] V. Tkachenko, Non-selfadjoint periodic Dirac operators, Operator Theory; Advances and Applications, vol. 123, 485-512, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2001.
- [14] V. Tkachenko, Characterization of Hill operators with analytic potentials, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 41 (2001), 360-380.
- [15] V. E. Zaharov and A. B. Shabat, A plan for integrating the nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of the inverse scattering problem. I. (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 8 (1974), no. 3, 43–53.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOFIA UNIVERSITY, 1164 SOFIA, BULGARIA E-mail address: djakov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 231 WEST 18TH AVE, COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA

E-mail address: mityagin.1@osu.edu