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#### Abstract

Let $I=[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $1<q \leq p<\infty$, let $u$ and $v$ be positive functions with $u \in L_{p^{\prime}}(I), v \in L_{q}(I)$ and let $T: L_{p}(I) \rightarrow L_{q}(I)$ be the Hardy-type operator given by $$
(T f)(x)=v(x) \int_{a}^{x} f(t) u(t) d t, x \in I .
$$


Given any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $s_{n}$ stand for either the n-th approximation number of $T$ or the n-th Kolmogorov width of $T$. We show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n s_{n}=c_{p q}\left(\int_{I}(u v)^{1 / r} d t\right)^{r}, r=1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q
$$

where $c_{p q}$ is an explicit constant depending only on $p$ and $q$.

## 1 Introduction

Let $u$ and $v$ be real-valued measurable functions on an interval $I:=[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$. In [5], [10], [11], [6] and [12] the Hardy-type operator $T$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T f)(x):=v(x) \int_{a}^{x} f(t) u(t) d t, x \in I \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was considered as a map from $L_{p}(I)$ to itself, when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
The main purpose of this paper is to study the properties of the Kolmogorov widths $d_{n}(T)$ and the approximation numbers $a_{n}(T)$ of $T$ as a map from $L_{p}(I)$ to $L_{q}(I)$ when $1<q \leq p<\infty$. These numbers are defined by (with standard notation)

$$
d_{n}(T)=d_{n}=\inf _{X_{n}} \sup _{0<\|f\|_{p, I} \leq 1} \inf _{g \in X_{n}}\|T f-g\|_{q, I} /\|f\|_{p, I} \quad(n \in \mathbf{N})
$$

where the infimum is taken over all $n$-dimensional subspaces $X_{n}$ of $L_{q}(I)$, and

$$
a_{n}(T)=a_{n}=\inf _{P_{n}} \sup _{0<\|f\|_{p, I} \leq 1}\left\|T f-P_{n} f\right\|_{q, I} /\|f\|_{p, I} \quad(n \in \mathbf{N})
$$

where the infimum is taken over all continuous linear operators $P_{n}$ from $L_{p}(I)$ into $L_{q}(I)$ of rank at most $n-1$. Note that the $n$-th approximation number is identical to the $(n-1)$-th linear width of $T$, see Pinkus [19].

From [5]-[12] we know that under appropriate conditions on $u$ and $v$ the approximation numbers $a_{n}(T)$ of $T$, viewed as a map from $L_{p}(I)$ to $L_{p}(I)$, satisfy

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_{n}(T)=\lambda_{p}^{-1 / p} \int_{I}|u(t) v(t)| d t
$$

where $\lambda_{p}$ is the first eigenvalue of a $p$-Laplacian eigenvalue problem on $I$. A connected account of such results concerning $a_{n}(T)$ is given in [4].

For many years the Kolmogorov widths and approximation numbers of various maps have undergone intense scrutiny: for embedding of Sobolev spaces we refer to [21], [19], [9] and [13]; and for the Hardy-type operator $T$, see [4], [17] and (in the special case when $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{v}=1$ ) [14]. When $p \neq q$, the previously existing results for the approximation numbers of $T: L_{p}(I) \rightarrow L_{q}(I)$ are of the form (see [15] and [17])

$$
c_{1} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\lambda} a_{n}(T) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\lambda} a_{n}(T) \leq c_{2}
$$

for some $\lambda>0 ; c_{1}, c_{2}$ are positive constants independent of $n$ but depending on $p, q, u$ and $v$. The existence of $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\lambda} a_{n}(T)$ is not established in this earlier work. Here we show that this limit does exist, when $1<q \leq p<\infty$ : our main result is that if $u$ and $v$ are positive functions with $u \in L_{p^{\prime}}(I)$ and $v \in L_{q}(I)$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n s_{n}=c_{p q}\left(\int_{I}(u v)^{r} d t\right)^{1 / r}, r=1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q
$$

where $c_{p q}$ is an explicit constant and $s_{n}$ stands for either $a_{n}$ or $d_{n}$. This leaves open the situation in which $p<q$. For this case, however, we obtained in [8] by rather similar techniques a corresponding formula for the asymptotic behaviour of the Bernstein widths of $T$.

Throughout the paper we suppose that $1<q \leq p<\infty$ and that $u$ and $v$ are positive functions on $I=[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$ with $u \in L_{p^{\prime}}(I)$ and $v \in L_{q}(I)$. Then we have that $T$ is a compact map from $L_{p}(I)$ to $L_{q}(I)$. The standard norm on $L_{p}(I)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{p, I}$ or by $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ if no ambiguity is possible. By $\chi_{s}$ will be meant the characteristic function of a set $S \subset \mathbf{R} ;|S|$ will denote the Lebesgue measure of $S$. We write $A \preceq B$ (or $A \succeq B$ ) if $A \leq c B$ (or $c A \geq B$ ) for some positive constant $c$ independent of appropriate quantities involved in the expressions $A$ and $B$. By $A \approx B$ we shall mean that $A \preceq B$ and $B \preceq A$.

## 2 Preliminaries and technical results

We start with the definition of special generalisations of the trigonometric functions, the $\sin _{p q}$ and $\cos _{p q}$ functions (see [2]). (Note that these functions have their origin in [16] and [20])

Definition 2.1 For $\sigma \in[0, q / 2]$ we set

$$
\operatorname{arc} \sin _{p q}(\sigma)=\frac{q}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \sigma / q} \frac{d s}{\left(1-s^{q}\right)^{1 / p}}
$$

We put

$$
\pi_{p q}=2 \operatorname{arc} \sin _{p q}(q / 2)=B\left(1 / q, 1 / p^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $1 / p^{\prime}=1-1 / p$ and $B$ denotes the Beta function. $B y \sin _{p q}$ we mean the inverse of arc $\sin _{p q}$ and the extension of this inverse as a $2 \pi_{p q}$-periodic function on $\mathbf{R}$.

More precisely, since arc $\sin _{p q}:[0, q / 2] \rightarrow\left[0, \pi_{p q} / 2\right]$ is increasing, $\sin _{p q}$ is well-defined on $\left[0, \pi_{p q} / 2\right]$. We extend it to $\left[\pi_{p q} / 2, \pi_{p q}\right]$ by defining $\sin _{p q} x=$ $\sin _{p q}\left(\pi_{p q}-x\right)$ for $x \in\left[\pi_{p q} / 2, \pi_{p q}\right]$, to $\left[-\pi_{p q}, \pi_{p q}\right]$ by oddness, and finally to all of $\mathbf{R}$ by $2 \pi_{p q}$-periodicity. Now define $\cos _{p q}$ by

$$
\cos _{p q}(x)=\frac{d}{d x} \sin _{p q} x
$$

this is an even, $2 \pi_{p q}$-periodic function that is odd about $\pi_{p q} / 2$.
Let $B:=\left\{f \in L_{p}(I):\|f\|_{p} \leq 1\right\}$ and consider the isoperimetric problem of determining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{g \in T(B)}\|g\|_{q} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem is related to the following non-linear integral problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=(T f)(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(x))_{(p)}=\lambda\left(T^{*}\left((g)_{q}\right)\right)(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(g)_{q}$ is the function with value $(g(x))_{q}$ at $x$ and $T^{*}$ is the map defined by $\left(T^{*} f\right)(x)=u(x) \int_{x}^{b} v(y) f(y) d y$. Note that when $u$ and $v$ are both identically equal to 1 on $I,(2.2)$ and (2.3) can be transformed into the $p, q$-Laplacian differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\left(w^{\prime}\right)_{(p)}\right)^{\prime}=\lambda(w)_{(q)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(a)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pair $(g, \lambda)$ for which a function $f$ with $\|f\|_{p}=1$, satisfying (2.2) and (2.3), can be found, will be called a spectral pair. The set of all spectral pairs will
be denoted by $S P(T, p, q)$. The number $\lambda$ occurring in a spectral pair will be called a spectral number, and the set of all such numbers denoted by $\operatorname{sp}(T, p, q)$; the function $g$ corresponding to $\lambda$ is called a spectral function. Let $g, f$ and $\lambda$ satisfy (2.2) and (2.3); then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|g(x)|^{q} d x & =\int g(g)_{q} d x=\int T f(x)(g)_{q} d x \\
& =\int f(x) T^{*}(g)_{q} d x=\int f(x)(f)_{p} \lambda^{-1} \\
& =\lambda^{-1} \int|f(x)|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

From this it follows that $\lambda^{-1}=\frac{\|g\|_{q}^{q}}{\|f\|_{p}^{p}}$ and then for $\left(g_{1}, \lambda\right) \in S P(T, p, q)$ we have $\lambda^{-1 / q}=\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{q}$.

Given any continuous function $f$ on $I$ we denote by $Z(f)$ the number of distinct zeros of $f$ on $\stackrel{o}{I}$, and by $P(f)$ the number of sign changes on this interval. The set of all spectral pairs $(g, \lambda)$ with $Z(g)=n\left(n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}\right)$ will be denoted by $S P_{n}(T, p, q)$, and $s p_{n}(T, p, q)$ will represent the set of all corresponding numbers $\lambda$.

We denote by $S P_{n}^{a}(p, q)$ the set of all pairs $(w, \lambda)$ (again called spectral pairs, $w$ being an eigenfunction with associated eigenvalue $\lambda$ ) corresponding to solutions of (2.4) and (2.5) for which $Z(u)=n$. Similarly, $S P_{n}^{a, b}(p, q)$ will stand for the set of all spectral pairs $(w, \lambda)$ corresponding to solutions of (2.4) that satisfy the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(a)=w(b)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and have $Z(u)=n$. It is known from [1], [2] or [19] that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}, S P_{n}^{a, b}(p, q)$ consists of exactly one spectral pair (up to normalisation). Moreover, from [20] or [2] we have

Lemma 2.2 For any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \backslash\{0\}$, the set of eigenvalues of problem (2.4) under the Dirichlet boundary conditions (2.6) on $I=[a, b]$ is given by

$$
\lambda_{n}(\alpha):=\left(\frac{2 n \pi_{p q}}{b-a}\right)^{q} \cdot \frac{|\alpha|^{p-q}}{p^{\prime} q^{q-1}} \quad(n \in \mathbf{N})
$$

with corresponding eigenfunctions

$$
w_{n, \alpha}(t):=\frac{\alpha(b-a)}{n \pi_{p q}} \sin _{p q}\left(\frac{n \pi_{p q}}{b-a} t\right) \quad(t \in I)
$$

It is easy to modify Lemma 2.2 so as to apply to the eigenvalue problem (2.4) with initial conditions at the left-hand endpoint $a$ of $I$.

Lemma 2.3 For any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \backslash\{0\}$, the set of eigenvalues of problem (2.4) under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(a)=0, w^{\prime}(a)=\alpha \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $I=[a, b]$ is given by

$$
\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}(\alpha):=\left(\frac{2(n-1 / 2) \pi_{p q}}{b-a}\right)^{q} \cdot \frac{|\alpha|^{p-q}}{p^{\prime} q^{q-1}} \quad(n \in \mathbf{N})
$$

with corresponding eigenfunctions

$$
\widetilde{w}_{n, \alpha}(t):=\frac{\alpha(b-a)}{(n-1 / 2) \pi_{p q}} \sin _{p q}\left(\frac{(n-1 / 2) \pi_{p q}}{b-a} t\right) \quad(t \in I) .
$$

Next we recall that a basic property of the Kolmogorov widths and the approximation numbers is that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}, a_{n} \leq d_{n+1}$. For this and more information about Kolmogorov widths, see [18]. Now let $W_{p}^{1}(I)$ be the Sobolev space of all functions in $L_{p}(I)$ with first-order distributional derivatives also in $L_{p}(I)$. It is a familiar fact that the elements of $W_{p}^{1}(I)$ are absolutely continuous on $I$ (more precisely, there is a representative in each equivalence class that is absolutely continuous), and so it makes sense to speak of the values of elements of this space at the endpoints of $I$. Let

$$
W_{p, a}^{1}(I)=\left\{f \in W_{p}^{1}(I): f(a)=0\right\}, B W_{p, a}^{1}(I)=\left\{f \in W_{p, a}^{1}(I):\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p, I} \leq 1\right\}
$$

and denote the embedding from $W_{p, a}^{1}(I)$ into $L_{q}(I)$ by $E_{a}$. We shall need the following result from [1].

Theorem 2.4 Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$. Then for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
d_{n}\left(E_{a}\right):=\inf _{X_{n}} \sup _{f \in B W_{p, a}^{1}} \inf _{g \in X_{n}}\left\|E_{a} f-g\right\|_{q, I}=\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}^{-1 / q}(\alpha),
$$

where the outer infimum is taken over all n-dimensional subspaces $X_{n}$ of $L^{q}(I)$, $\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}(\alpha)$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ eigenvalue of problem (2.4) under condition (2.7), and $\alpha$ is so chosen that for the corresponding eigenfunction $\widetilde{u}_{n, \alpha}$ we have $\left\|\widetilde{u}_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}=1$.

It is clear that $B W_{p, a}^{1}(I)=\left\{T f ;\|f\|_{p, I} \leq 1\right\}$, where $T$ is the special case of (1.1) with $u=v=1$, so that $(T f)(x)=\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t$. Together with Lemma 2.3 this enables us to make the following observation.

Remark 2.5 Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ and suppose that $T$ is given by $(T f)(x)=$ $\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t$. Then

$$
d_{n}\left(E_{a}\right)=\frac{b-a}{2(n-1 / 2) \pi_{p q}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime} q^{q-1}}{|\alpha|^{p-q}}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

where $\alpha$ is chosen so that

$$
\left\|\frac{\alpha(b-a)}{(n-1 / 2) \pi_{p q}}\left(\sin _{p q}\left(\frac{(n-1 / 2) \pi_{p q}}{b-a} .\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}=1
$$

## 3 Technical Lemmas

Here we introduce various techniques that will be used to establish the main theorem. Although there are similarities between these and the procedures used in [8], we give details here for the convenience of the reader. We suppose throughout this section that $u \in L_{p^{\prime}}(I)$ and $v \in L_{q}(I)$ : these assumptions are sufficient to ensure the compactness of $T$. We begin with an elementary lemma that is a simple consequence of Hölder's inequality.

Lemma 3.1 Let $1<q \leq p<\infty$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}}=n^{1 / q-1 / p}
$$

and the supremum is attained when $\left|\alpha_{i}\right|=1, i=1, \ldots, n$; and

$$
\inf _{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}}=1
$$

where the infimum is attained when $\left|\alpha_{i}\right|=1$ for only one $i$ and $\alpha_{j}=0$ for each $j \neq i$.

Definition 3.2 Let $J=[c, d] \subset I$ and $x \in I$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{x, J} f(.):=v(.) \chi_{J}(.) \int_{x} f(t) u(t) \chi_{J}(t) d t \\
A_{v, u}(J):=A(J)=\inf _{x \in J}\left\|T_{x, J} \mid L_{p}(J) \rightarrow L_{q}(J)\right\|, \\
C_{v, u,+}(J):=C_{+}(J):=\sup \left\{\frac{\left\|T_{c, J} f\right\|_{q, J}}{\|f\|_{p, J}}: f \in L_{p}(J) \backslash\{0\}\right\} . \\
C_{v, u, 0}(J):=C_{0}(J):=\sup \left\{\frac{\|T f\|_{q, J}}{\|f\|_{p, J}}: f \in L_{p}(J) \backslash\{0\},(T f)(c)=(T f)(d)=0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From this definition we have (see section 2.4.2 in [4] or [11] for details of similar arguments)

Lemma 3.3 Let $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ be intervals with $I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset I$. Then

$$
A\left(I_{1}\right) \leq A\left(I_{2}\right), C_{+}\left(I_{1}\right) \leq C_{+}\left(I_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
C_{0}\left(I_{1}\right) \leq C_{0}\left(I_{2}\right), C_{0}\left(I_{1}\right) \leq C_{+}\left(I_{1}\right)
$$

The quantities $A(J), C_{0}(J)$ and $C_{+}(J)$ are characterised in the next lemma.

Lemma 3.4 Let $J=[c, d] \subset I$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(J)=\left\|T_{e, J} \mid L^{p}(J) \rightarrow L^{q}(J)\right\| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $e \in \stackrel{o}{J}$ and

$$
A(J)=\frac{\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{q, J}}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p, J}}=\lambda_{1}^{-1 / q}
$$

where

$$
\left(g_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) \in S P(T, p, q) \text { on } J \text { and } g_{1}(e)=0
$$

and

$$
C_{+}(J)=\frac{\left\|g_{0}\right\|_{q, J}}{\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p, J}}=\lambda_{0}^{-1 / q}
$$

where

$$
\left(g_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) \in S P(T, p, q) \text { on } J, \text { and } g_{0}(c)=0
$$

also

$$
C_{0}(J)=\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{q, J}=\lambda_{1}^{-q}
$$

where

$$
\left(g_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) \in S P(T, p, q) \text { on } J, g_{1}(c)=g_{1}(d)=0
$$

Proof. Since $T$ is a compact map from $L_{p}(J)$ to $L_{q}(J)$, there exist $h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2} \in$ $L_{p}(J)$ and $x \in J$ such that
(a) $A(J)=\left\|T_{x, J} h_{1}\right\|_{q, J},\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{p, J}=1$;
(b) $C_{+}(J)=\left\|T_{c, J} h_{0}\right\|_{q, J},\left\|h_{0}\right\|_{p, J}=1$;
(c) $C_{0}(J)=\left\|T h_{0}\right\|_{q, J},\left\|h_{0}\right\|_{p, J}=1$.

Put

$$
G(f)=\|T f\|_{q, J} /\|f\|_{p, J}, f \neq 0
$$

Then $G^{\prime}(f)=0$ if, and only if, $T f \in S P(T, p, q)$ on $J$. From (b) it follows that $G^{\prime}\left(h_{0}\right)=0$. By a simple modification of this argument, with the help of (a), the statement concerning $A$ follows. The rest is proved in a similar manner.

Next we give a monotonicity result.
Lemma 3.5 Let $I_{1}, I_{2}$ be intervals contained in $I$, with $I_{1} \subset I_{2}$ and $\left|I_{2} \backslash I_{1}\right|>0$. Then
(a) $C_{+}\left(I_{1}\right)<C_{+}\left(I_{2}\right)$,
(b) $C_{0}\left(I_{1}\right)<C_{0}\left(I_{2}\right)$,
(c) $A\left(I_{1}\right)<A\left(I_{2}\right)$.

Proof. First we prove (a) and consider the following cases:
(i) $I_{1}=[c, d] \subset I_{2}=[c, b], d<b$;
(ii) $I_{1}=[c, d] \subset I_{2}=[a, d], a<c$;
(iii) $I_{1}=[c, d] \subset I_{2}=[a, b], a<c<d<b$.

Clearly (a) will be established if we can handle these three cases. First suppose that (i) holds. Since $T$ is a compact map, there exists $f_{1} \geq 0$ such that

$$
C_{+}\left(I_{1}\right)=\left\|T f_{1}\right\|_{q, I_{1}} /\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p, I_{1}}>0 .
$$

Define $f_{2}$ on $I_{2}$ by $f_{2}(x)=f_{1}(x)$ if $x \in I_{1}, f_{2}(x)=0$ if $x \in I_{2} \backslash I_{1}$. Then $\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p, I_{1}}=\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{p, I_{2}},\left(T f_{1}\right)(x)=\left(T f_{2}\right)(x)\left(x \in I_{1}\right),\left(T f_{2}\right)(x)>0\left(x \in I_{2} \backslash I_{1}\right)$ and

$$
C_{+}\left(I_{1}\right)=\left\|T f_{1}\right\|_{q, I_{1}} /\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p, I_{1}}<\left\|T f_{2}\right\|_{q, I_{1}} /\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{p, I_{1}} \leq C_{+}\left(I_{2}\right)
$$

For case (ii), note that there exists $f_{1}>0$, with supp $f_{1} \subset I_{1}$, such that

$$
C_{+}\left(I_{1}\right)=\left\|T f_{1}\right\|_{q, I_{1}} /\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p, I_{1}}
$$

Since $u$ is locally integrable, there exists $z \in\left(a, \frac{1}{2}(a+c)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(z)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{z}^{z+\varepsilon} u(x) d x . \text { Let } \delta>0 \text { and define } \\
& \qquad f_{2}(x)=\delta \chi_{(z, z+\varepsilon)}(x)+f_{1}(x), x \in I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for small $\delta>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there is a positive constant $C_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{p, I_{2}} \leq C_{1} \varepsilon^{1 / p} \delta+\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p, I_{2}}
$$

For $T f_{2}$ we have, with $S(z) \approx \delta \varepsilon u(z)$,

$$
\left(T f_{2}\right)(x) \begin{cases}=0, & a \leq x \leq z \\ >0, & z<x \leq z+\varepsilon \\ =S(z) v(x), & z+\varepsilon<x \leq c \\ =S(z) v(x)+\left(T f_{1}\right)(x), & c<x \leq d\end{cases}
$$

From this it follows that for small positive $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$, there is a positive constant $C_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T f_{2}\right\|_{q, I_{2}} & \geq\left\{(S(z))^{q} \int_{z+\varepsilon}^{c} v^{q}(x) d x+\int_{c}^{d}\left|S(z) v(x)+\left(T f_{1}\right)(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{1 / q} \\
& \geq C_{2}\left\{(\delta \varepsilon)^{q}+\delta \varepsilon\right\}+\left\|T f_{1}\right\|_{q, I_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for small positive $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$,

$$
\frac{\left\|T f_{2}\right\|_{q, I_{2}}}{\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{p, I_{2}}} \geq \frac{C_{2} \delta \varepsilon+\left\|T f_{1}\right\|_{q, I_{1}}}{C_{1} \varepsilon^{1 / p} \delta+\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p, I_{2}}}
$$

which implies that there exist $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{1}>0$ such that for $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{1}$ and $0<\delta<\delta_{1}$,

$$
\frac{\left\|T f_{2}\right\|_{q, I_{2}}}{\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{p, I_{2}}}>\frac{\left\|T f_{1}\right\|_{q, I_{1}}}{\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{p, I_{1}}}
$$

This gives the proof of (a) in case (ii). Case (iii) follows from (i) and (ii).
The proof of (b) and (c) can be accomplished by modification of this argument with use of (3.1).

Lemma 3.6 The functions $C_{+}([x, y]), C_{0}([x, y])$ and $A([x, y])$ are continuous in their dependence on $x$ and $y$.

Proof. Suppose that $C_{+}([x, y])$ is not right-continuous as a function of the right-hand endpoint. Then there exist $x$ and $y$, with $x<y$, and $t>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{+}([x, y])<t<C_{+}([x, y+\varepsilon]) \text { for all small enough } \varepsilon>0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given each small enough $\varepsilon>0$, there is a function $f_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
C_{+}([x, y+\varepsilon])=\frac{\left\|T_{x} f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{q}}{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}}, \operatorname{supp} f_{\varepsilon} \subset[x, y+\varepsilon], \operatorname{supp} T_{x} f_{\varepsilon} \subset[x, y+\varepsilon] \text { and }\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p}=1
$$

Since $T_{x}$ is bounded, there exists $C>0$ such that $\left\|T_{x} f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{q} \leq C$. As $T_{x}$ is compact, there is a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{k}\right)$ of positive numbers converging to zero and an element $g$ of $L_{q}(I)$, with $\operatorname{supp} g \subset \cap_{k}\left[x, y+\varepsilon_{k}\right]=[x, y]$, such that $T_{x} f_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow g$ in $L_{q}(I)$. From (3.2) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\|g-T_{x} f\right\|_{q,[x, y]}>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all $f$ with supp $f \subset[x, y]$. However, since $T_{x}$ has closed range, there exists $h \in L_{p}(I)$, with $\|h\|_{p}=1$ and supp $h \subset[x, y]$, such that $T h=g$. This contradiction with (3.3) establishes the right-continuity of $C_{+}$in its dependence on the right-hand endpoint. Left continuity is proved in much the same way. Continuity of $A$ and $C_{0}$ can be proved by modification of the previous arguments (for more details see the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [12].

After this preparation we introduce a function that will be of crucial importance in our proofs.

Definition 3.7 Suppose that $0<\varepsilon<\left\|T: L_{p}(I) \rightarrow L_{q}(I)\right\|$ and let $\mathcal{P}$ be the family of all partitions $P=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ of $[a, b], a=a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n-1}<$ $a_{n}=b$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(\varepsilon):= & \left\{n \in \mathbf{N}: \text { for some } P=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{P}, C_{+}\left(\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]\right) \leq \varepsilon,\right. \\
& \left.A\left(\left[a_{2}, a_{3}\right]\right) \leq \varepsilon, \ldots, A\left(\left[a_{n-1}, a_{n}\right]\right) \leq \varepsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\varepsilon)=\min S(\varepsilon) \text { if } S(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset, B(\varepsilon)=\infty \text { otherwise. } \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Monotonicity of $B$ is clear:
Lemma 3.8 If $0<\varepsilon_{1}<\varepsilon_{2}<\left\|T: L_{p}(I) \rightarrow L_{q}(I)\right\|$, then $B\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right) \geq B\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)$.
We also have
Lemma 3.9 Let $0<\varepsilon<\left\|T: L_{p}(I) \rightarrow L_{q}(I)\right\|$ and suppose that $B(\varepsilon) \geq 1$. Put $B(\varepsilon)=n$. Then there is a partition $P=\left\{a=a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{B(\varepsilon)}=b\right\}$ of $[a, b]$ such that $C_{+}\left(\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]\right)=\varepsilon, A\left(\left[a_{2}, a_{3}\right]\right)=\varepsilon, \ldots, A\left(\left[a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}\right]\right)=\varepsilon$, $A\left(\left[a_{n-1}, a_{n}\right]\right) \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, together with the techniques used for the construction of $N(\varepsilon)$ in [11].

Lemma 3.10 Let $T$ be a compact map from $L^{p}(I)$ into $L^{q}(I)$. Then for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0,\left\|T: L_{p}(I) \rightarrow L_{q}(I)\right\|\right), B(\varepsilon)<\infty$.

Proof. This follows from the definition of compactness of $T$ and a simple modification of the proof of Remark 2.4 of [12]. See also Lemma 3.8 of [8].

Lemma 3.11 Let $n=B\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ for some $\varepsilon_{0}>0$. Then there exist $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$, $0<\varepsilon_{2}<\varepsilon_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, such that $B\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)=n+1$ and $B\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)=n$; and there is a partition $\left\{a=a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{B\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)}=b\right\}$ of $[a, b]$ such that the conclusion of Lemma 3.9 is satisfied with $A\left(\left[a_{n-1}, a_{n}\right]\right)=\varepsilon_{1}$.

Proof. We use the continuity of $C_{+}([x, y])$ and $A([x, y])$ as functions of the endpoints $x$ and $y$, together with the fact that $B(\varepsilon)<\infty$ for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0,\left\|T: L_{p}(I) \rightarrow L_{q}(I)\right\|\right)$. Suppose that whenever $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, either $B(\varepsilon)>n+1$ or $B(\varepsilon)=n$. Put $\varepsilon_{3}=\inf \left\{\varepsilon>0: \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}, B(\varepsilon)=n\right\}$. In view of the continuity properties of $A$ and $C_{+}$, if $\varepsilon_{3}<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, there is a sequence $a_{1}=a, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ such that the conclusion of Lemma 3.9 is satisfied for the sequence with $C_{+}\left(\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]\right)=\varepsilon$, $A\left(\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right)=\varepsilon$ if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $A\left(\left[a_{n-1}, a_{n}\right]\right) \leq \varepsilon$. Then there is a sequence $\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n=B\left(\varepsilon_{3}\right)}$ such that $C_{+}\left(\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]\right)=\varepsilon, A\left(\left[b_{i-1}, b_{i}\right]\right)=\varepsilon$ if $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $A\left(\left[b_{n-1}, b_{n}\right]\right)=\varepsilon$. Hence by the continuity of $C_{+}$and $A$ there exists $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{3}$ with $B(\varepsilon)=n+1$. The proof is complete.

The final lemmas in this section deal with additional properties of $A(I)$ and $C_{+}(I)$. In these we shall use the following notation:

$$
\left(T_{v, u} f\right)(x):=v(x) \int_{a}^{x} u(t) f(t) d t
$$

and as before, $A_{v, u}(I), C_{v, u, 0}(I)$ and $C_{v, u,+}(I)$ will stand for $A(I), C_{0}(I)$ and $C_{+}(I)$ respectively for the operator $T_{v, u}$. Of crucial importance is the next Lemma, which gives the values of these functions when $u$ and $v$ are constant.

Lemma 3.12 Let $u$ and $v$ be constant on the interval $I$. Then
(i) $A_{v, u}(I)=C_{v, u, 0}(I)=u v|I|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} C_{1,1,0}([0,1])$,
(ii) $C_{v, u,+}(I)=u v|I|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} C_{1,1,+}([0,1])$,
(iii) $C_{v, u,+}(I)=2 A_{v, u}(I)=2 C_{v, u, 0}(I)$.

Proof. For (ii) note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{v, u,+}(I) & =\sup _{\operatorname{supp} f \subset I} \frac{\left\|T_{v, u} f\right\|_{q, I}}{\|f\|_{p, I}}=\sup _{\operatorname{supp} f \subset I} \frac{\left\|v \int_{a}^{\cdot} u f(t) d t\right\|_{q, I}}{\|f\|_{p, I}} \\
& =u v \sup _{\operatorname{supp} f \subset I} \frac{\left\|\int_{a}^{*} f(t) d t\right\|_{q, I}}{\|f\|_{p, I}} \\
& =u v|I|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} \sup _{\operatorname{supp} f \subset[0,1]} \frac{\left\|\int_{0} f(t) d t\right\|_{q,[0,1]}}{\|f\|_{p,[0,1]}} \\
& =u v|I|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} C_{1,1,+}([0,1]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way we can prove (i). Finally, (iii) follows from (i) and (ii), together with Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 3.4.

From [2] and [20] (see also [16] for $p=q$ ) we have
Lemma 3.13 Let $f(t)=c(S f)^{\prime}(t)$, where $(S f)(t)=\operatorname{csin}_{p q}\left(\pi_{p q} t\right),\left(T_{0} f\right)(t)=$ $\operatorname{csin}_{p q}\left(\pi_{p q} t\right)$ and $c$ is an arbitrary non-zero constant. Then
$A_{1,1}([-1 / 2,1 / 2])=\frac{\left\|T_{0} f\right\|_{q,[0,1]}}{\|f\|_{p,[0,1]}}=\frac{\|S f\|_{q,[-1 / 2,1 / 2]}}{\|f\|_{p,[-1 / 2,1 / 2]}}=\frac{\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{1 / q} q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}+q\right)^{1 / p-1 / q}}{2 \pi_{p q}}$
and

$$
C_{1,1,0}([0,1])=\frac{\|S f\|_{q,[0,1]}}{\|f\|_{p,[0,1]}}=\frac{\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{1 / q} q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}+q\right)^{1 / p-1 / q}}{2 \pi_{p q}}
$$

Note that $A_{1,1}([0,1])=A_{1,1}([-1 / 2,1 / 2])$ and the extremal functions for $A_{1,1}([0,1])$ can be obtained by translation of the extremal function for $A_{1,1}([-1 / 2,1 / 2])$. Now we establish the continuous dependence of $A_{v, u}(I), C_{v, u, 0}(I)$ and $C_{v, u,+}(I)$ on $u$ and $v$.

Lemma 3.14 Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $v$ be positive weights on $I$ with $u_{1}, u_{2} \in L_{p^{\prime}}(I)$ and $v \in L_{q}(I)$. Then
(i) $\left|A_{v, u_{1}}(I)-A_{v, u_{2}}(I)\right| \leq\|v\|_{q}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}$
(ii) $\left|C_{v, u_{1},+}(I)-C_{v, u_{2},+}(I)\right| \leq\|v\|_{q}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}$,
(iii) $\left|C_{v, u_{1}, 0}(I)-C_{v, u_{2}, 0}(I)\right| \leq 2\|v\|_{q}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}$

Proof. Let us start with (i). Without loss of generality we may suppose that $A_{v, u_{1}}(I) \geq A_{v, u_{2}}(I)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{v, u_{1}}(I)= \sup _{\|f\|_{p, I} \leq 1} \inf _{c \in I}\left\|v\left[\int_{c}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}+u_{2}\right) f d t\right]\right\|_{q, I} \\
& \leq \sup _{\|f\|_{p, I} \leq 1} \inf _{c \in I}\left[\left\|v \int_{c}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) f d t\right\|_{q, I}\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\left\|v \int_{c} u_{2} f d t\right\|_{q, I}\right] \\
& \leq \sup _{\|f\|_{p, I} \leq 1} \inf _{c \in I}\left[\|v\|_{q, I}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{p^{\prime}, I}\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\left\|v \int_{c} u_{2} f d t\right\|_{q, I}\right] \\
& \leq\|v\|_{q, I}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{p^{\prime}, I}+A_{v, u_{2}}(I)
\end{aligned}
$$

For (ii) the argument is simpler. In what follows all the suprema are taken over all functions $f$ such that supp $f \subset I$ and $\|f\|_{p} \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{v, u_{1},+}(I) & =\sup \left\|v(\cdot) \int_{a} f(t) u_{1}(t) d t\right\|_{q} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\left\|v(\cdot) \int_{a} f(t)\left|u_{1}(t)-u_{2}(t)\right| d t\right\|_{q}+\left\|v(\cdot) \int_{a} f(t) u_{2}(t) d t\right\|_{q}\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \|v\|_{q}\|f\|_{p}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}+\sup \left\|v(\cdot) \int_{a} f(t) u_{2}(t) d t\right\|_{q} \\
& \leq\|v\|_{q}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}+C_{v, u 2,+}(I)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we prove (iii). For $i=0,1$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{i} & =\left\{f: \int_{a}^{b} u_{i}(t) f(t) d t=0,\|f\|_{p}=1\right\} \\
V_{i} & =\left\{f:\left|\int_{a}^{b} u_{i}(t) f(t) d t\right| \leq\left\|u_{2}-u_{1}\right\|_{p^{\prime}},\|f\|_{p}=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} u_{1}(t) f(t) d t\right| \leq\left\|u_{2}-u_{1}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}\|f\|_{p}+\left|\int_{a}^{b} u_{2}(t) f(t) d t\right|
$$

we have $U_{2} \subset V_{1}$. Correspondingly, $U_{1} \subset V_{2}$. Either $C_{v, u_{1}, 0}(I) \leq C_{v, u_{2}, 0}(I)$ or $C_{v, u_{1}, 0}(I) \geq C_{v, u_{2}, 0}(I)$. Suppose that the first case holds. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{v, u_{2}, 0}(I) & =\sup _{f \in U_{2}}\left\|v(\cdot) \int_{a} f\left(u_{2}-u_{1}+u_{1}\right) d t\right\|_{q} \\
& \leq \sup _{f \in U_{2}}\left\{\|v\|_{q}\left\|u_{2}-u_{1}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}\|f\|_{p}+\left\|v(\cdot) \int_{a} f u_{1} d t\right\|_{q}\right\} \\
& \leq\|v\|_{q}\left\|u_{2}-u_{1}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}+\sup _{f \in U_{1} \cup\left(V_{1} \backslash U_{1}\right)}\left\|v(\cdot) \int_{a} f u_{1} d t\right\|_{q} \\
& \leq 2\|v\|_{q}\left\|u_{2}-u_{1}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}+\sup _{f \in U_{1}}\left\|v(\cdot) \int_{a} f u_{1} d t\right\|_{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
C_{v, u_{2}, 0}(I) \leq 2\|v\|_{q}\left\|u_{2}-u_{1}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}+C_{v, u_{1}, 0}(I)
$$

The other case is handled similarly, and the proof of (iii) is complete.
Lemma 3.15 Let $u, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be weights on $I$ with $u \in L_{p^{\prime}}(I)$ and $v_{1}, v_{2} \in$ $L_{q}(I)$. Then
(i) $\left|A_{v_{2}, u}(I)-A_{v_{1}, u}(I)\right| \leq\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{q}\|u\|_{p^{\prime}}$
(ii) $\left|C_{v_{2}, u,+}(I)-C_{v_{1}, u,+}(I)\right| \leq\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{q}\|u\|_{p^{\prime}}$,
(iii) $\left|C_{v_{2}, u, 0}(I)-C_{v_{1}, u, 0}(I)\right| \leq\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{q}\|u\|_{p^{\prime}}$.

Proof. The proof of (i) and (ii) is just a simple modification of the previous proof. Let us prove (iii). The suprema in what follows are taken over all functions $f$ such that supp $f, \operatorname{supp} T_{v_{1}, u} f \subset I$ and $\|f\|_{p} \leq 1$. Note that supp
$T_{v_{1}, u} f=\operatorname{supp} T_{v_{2}, u} f$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{v_{1}, u, 0}(I) & =\sup \left\|v_{1}(\cdot) \int_{a} f(t) u(t) d t\right\|_{q} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\left\|\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) \int_{a} f(t) u(t) d t\right\|_{q}+\left\|v_{2} \int_{a} f(t) u(t) d t\right\|_{q}\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{q}\|f\|_{p}\|u\|_{p^{\prime}}+\left\|v_{2} \int_{a} f(t) u(t) d t\right\|_{q}\right\} \\
& \leq\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{q}\|u\|_{p^{\prime}}+\sup \left\|v_{2} \int_{a} f(t) u(t) d t\right\|_{q} \\
& \leq\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{q}\|u\|_{p^{\prime}}+C_{v_{2}, u, 0}(I) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest is now clear.

## 4 The main theorem

Our first objective is to make more precise the relationship between $B(\varepsilon)$ and $\varepsilon$. As before we suppose that $u \in L_{p^{\prime}}(I)$ and $v \in L_{q}(I)$.

Lemma 4.1 Let $1<q \leq p<\infty$ and $r=1 / q+1 / p^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \varepsilon B(\varepsilon)^{r}=A_{1,1}([0,1])\left(\int_{I}(u v)^{1 / r} d t\right)^{r} .
$$

Proof. Let $\beta>0$. There are step functions $u_{\beta}, v_{\beta}$, with the same steps, such that $\left\|u_{\beta}-u\right\|_{p^{\prime}, I} \leq \beta,\left\|v_{\beta}-v\right\|_{q, I} \leq \beta$ and

$$
\left|\int_{I}(u v)^{1 / r} d t-\int_{I}\left(u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right)^{1 / r} d t\right| \leq \beta
$$

Let $N(\beta)$ be the number of steps in the functions $u_{\beta}, v_{\beta}$ and let $\varepsilon>0$ be so chosen that $B(\varepsilon) \gg N(\beta)$. Let $\left\{J_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N(\beta)}$ be the set of all intervals on which $u_{\beta}$ and $v_{\beta}$ are constant, let $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N(\beta)}$ be the sequence from Lemma 3.9 and put $I_{i}=\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]$ for $i=2, \ldots, B(\varepsilon)$. Plainly

$$
I=\cup_{i=1}^{N(\beta)} J_{i}=\cup_{i=1}^{B(\varepsilon)} I_{i} .
$$

Now define sets $B, B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ by

$$
B=\{1, \ldots, B(\varepsilon)\}=B_{1} \cup B_{2}
$$

where

$$
B_{1}:=\left\{i \in B: I_{i} \subset J_{j} \text { for some } j, 1 \leq j \leq N(\beta)\right\}, B_{2}=B \backslash B_{1}
$$

Put

$$
I_{B_{1}}=\cup_{i \in B_{1}} I_{i}, I_{B_{2}}=\cup_{i \in B_{2}} I_{i}
$$

Then for $I_{i}\left(i \in B_{1} \backslash\{B(\varepsilon), 2\}\right)$ we have, using Lemmas 3.12, 3.14 and 3.15,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\left|A_{v, u}\left(I_{i}\right)-u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right| I_{i}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} A_{1,1}([0,1]) \mid \leq\left\|u_{\beta}-u\right\|_{p^{\prime}, I_{i}}\|v\|_{q, I_{i}} \\
&+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I_{i}}\left\|v_{\beta}-v\right\|_{q, I_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We recall that for $0<s<1,\|.\|_{s}$ is a quasi-norm which satisfies the inequality $\|f+g\|_{s}^{s} \leq\|f\|_{s}^{s}+\|g\|_{s}^{s}$ and we have also $\sum\left|f_{i}+g_{i}\right|^{s} \leq \sum\left|f_{i}\right|^{s}+\sum\left|g_{i}\right|^{s}$.

Thus with the understanding that the summations are over all $i \in B_{1} \backslash\{B(\varepsilon), 2\}$, together with help from this and the Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i} \mid A_{v, u}\left(I_{i}\right) & -\left.u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\left|I_{i}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} A_{1,1}([0,1])\right|^{1 / r} \\
& \leq \sum_{i}\left(\left\|u_{\beta}-u\right\|_{p^{\prime}, I_{i}}\|v\|_{q, I_{i}}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I_{i}}\left\|v_{\beta}-v\right\|_{q, I_{i}}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \leq \sum_{i}\left(\left\|u_{\beta}-u\right\|_{p^{\prime}, I_{i}}\|v\|_{q, I_{i}}\right)^{1 / r}+\sum_{i}\left(\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I_{i}}\left\|v_{\beta}-v\right\|_{q, I_{i}}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{\beta}-u\right\|_{p^{\prime}, I}^{1 / r}\|v\|_{q, I}^{1 / r}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I}^{1 / r}\left\|v_{\beta}-v\right\|_{q, I}^{1 / r}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i} \mid A_{v, u}\left(I_{i}\right) & -\left.u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\left|I_{i}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} A_{1,1}([0,1])\right|^{1 / r} \\
& \geq\left.\left|\sum_{i}\right| A_{v, u}\left(I_{i}\right)\right|^{1 / r}-\left.\left.\sum_{i}\left|u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right| I_{i}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} A_{1,1}([0,1])\right|^{1 / r} \mid \\
& \geq\left|\left\{\left(\# B_{1}-1\right) \varepsilon^{1 / r}\right\}-\left(A_{1,1}([0,1])\right)^{1 / r}\left(\int_{I_{B_{1} \backslash\{B(\varepsilon)\}}}\left(u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right)^{1 / r}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus
$\left|\left(\# B_{1}-1\right) \varepsilon^{1 / r}-\left(A_{1,1}([0,1])\right)^{1 / r}\left(\int_{I_{B_{1} \backslash\{B(\varepsilon)\}}}\left(u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right)^{1 / r}\right)\right| \leq \beta^{1 / r}\left(\|v\|_{q, I}^{1 / r}+\|u\|_{p, I}^{1 / r}\right)$.
When $\varepsilon \downarrow 0, I_{B_{1} \backslash\{B(\varepsilon)} \uparrow I$ and $\# B_{1} / \# B \uparrow 1$. Hence

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+}\left|\varepsilon(\# B)^{r}-A_{1,1}([0,1])\left(\int_{I}\left(u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right)^{1 / r}\right)^{r}\right| \leq 2 \beta\left(\|v\|_{q, I}+\|u\|_{p, I}\right)
$$

and the result follows.
Next we establish a connection with the Kolmogorov widths for $T_{v, u}$.
Lemma 4.2 Let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that $B(\varepsilon)>2$. Then

$$
a_{B(\varepsilon)}(T) \leq \varepsilon B(\varepsilon)^{1 / q-1 / p}
$$

Proof. Since $T$ is compact, $B(\varepsilon)<\infty$. By Lemma 3.9, there are a sequence $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{B(\varepsilon)}$ and intervals $I_{i}=\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]$ such that $C_{v, u,+}\left(I_{1}\right)=\varepsilon, A_{v, u}\left(I_{i}\right)=\varepsilon$ for $i=2, \ldots, B(\varepsilon)-1$ and $A_{v, u}\left(I_{B(\varepsilon)}\right) \leq \varepsilon$. For each $i$ with $1<i \leq B(\varepsilon)-1$, denote by $c_{i} \in I_{i}$ a point such that

$$
A_{v, u}\left(I_{i}\right)=\sup _{f \in L^{p}\left(I_{i}\right)} \frac{\left\|T_{c_{i}, I_{i}} f\right\|_{q}}{\|f\|_{p}}
$$

Put

$$
P_{B(\varepsilon)} f(x)=\left[\sum_{i=2}^{B(\varepsilon)}(T f)\left(c_{i}\right) \chi_{I_{i}}(x)\right]+0 \cdot \chi_{I_{1}}(x)
$$

this is a linear map $L_{p} \rightarrow L_{q}$ with rank $B(\varepsilon)-1$.
We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{B(\varepsilon)}(T) & \leq \sup _{f \in L^{p}(I)} \frac{\left\|T f-P_{B(\varepsilon)} f\right\|_{q, I}}{\|f\|_{p, I}} \\
& =\sup _{f \in L^{p}(I)} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=2}^{B(\varepsilon)}\left\|T f(.)-T f\left(c_{i}\right)\right\|_{q, I_{i}}^{q}+\|T f(.)\|_{q, I_{1}}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{\|f\|_{p, I}} \\
& \leq \sup _{f \in L^{p}(I)} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=2}^{B(\varepsilon)}\left\|T_{c_{i}} f(.)\right\|_{q, I_{i}}^{q}+\|T f(.)\|_{q, I_{1}}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{\|f\|_{p, I}} \\
& \leq \sup _{f \in L^{p}(I)} \frac{\varepsilon\left(\sum_{i=1}^{B(\varepsilon)}\|f\|_{p, I_{i}}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}}{\|f\|_{p, I}} \\
& \leq \sup _{f \in L^{p}(I)} \frac{\varepsilon[B(\varepsilon)]^{1 / q-1 / p)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{B(\varepsilon)}\|f\|_{p, I_{i}}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}}{\|f\|_{p, I}} \\
& \leq \varepsilon[B(\varepsilon)]^{1 / q-1 / p .}
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the reverse inequality with the Kolmogorov numbers we first recall the Makovoz lemma (see 3.11 in [1]).

Lemma 4.3 Let $U_{n} \subset\left\{T f ;\|f\|_{p, I} \leq 1\right\}$ be a continuous and odd image of the unit sphere $S^{n}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ endowed with the $l_{1}$ norm. Then

$$
d_{n}(T) \geq \inf \left\{\|x\|_{q, I}: x \in U_{n}\right\}
$$

Lemma 4.4 Let $1<q \leq p<\infty$. Then

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n d_{n}(T) \geq C_{1,1,0}([0,1])\left(\int_{I}|u v|^{1 / r}\right)^{r}
$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and define

$$
\mathcal{O}_{n}=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}: \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left|z_{i}\right|=1\right\}
$$

For the sake of simplicity we suppose that $I=[a, b]=[0,1]$. We define

$$
u_{n, z}(.)=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \chi_{I_{i}}(.) T f_{i}(.)
$$

where $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{n}, I_{j}=\left[\sum_{i=0}^{j-1}\left|z_{i}\right|, \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left|z_{i}\right|\right]$, for $j=1, \ldots, n+1$, with $z_{0}=0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{supp} f_{i}=I_{i}, \quad f_{i}(t) \operatorname{sign}\left(z_{i}\right) \geq 0 \text { for all } t \in I \\
\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{p, I_{i}}=1, \quad \frac{\left\|T f_{i}\right\|_{q, I_{i}}}{\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{p, I_{i}}}=C_{v, u, 0}\left(I_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we can put $U_{n}=\left\{u_{n, z}(.) ; z \in \mathcal{O}_{n}\right\}$ and have
$d_{n}(T) \geq \inf \left\{\left\|u_{n, z}(.)\right\|_{q, I}, u_{n, z}(.) \in U_{n}\right\} n^{-1 / p}=\inf \left\{\left\|u_{n, z}(.)\right\|_{q, I}, z \in \mathcal{O}_{n}\right\} n^{-1 / p}$.
Let $\beta>0$. There are step functions $u_{\beta}, v_{\beta}$, with the same steps, such that $\left\|u_{\beta}-u\right\|_{p^{\prime}, I} \leq \beta,\left\|v_{\beta}-v\right\|_{q, I} \leq \beta$ and

$$
\left|\int_{I}(u v)^{1 / r} d t-\int_{I}\left(u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right)^{1 / r} d t\right| \leq \beta
$$

where $r=1 / q+1 / p^{\prime}$.
Let $N(\beta)$ be the number of steps in the functions $u_{\beta}, v_{\beta}$. Denote by $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N(\beta)}$ the set of points of discontinuity of $u_{\beta}, v_{\beta}$. We define $T_{\beta} f()=.v_{\beta}(.) \int_{a}^{*} u_{\beta}(t) f(t) d t$ and

$$
u_{n, z}^{\beta}(.)=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \chi_{I_{i}}(.) T_{\beta} f_{i}(.)
$$

where $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{n}, I_{j}=\left[\sum_{i=0}^{j-1}\left|z_{i}\right|, \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left|z_{i}\right|\right]$, for $j=1, \ldots, n+1$, with $z_{0}=0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{supp} f_{i}=I_{j}, \quad f_{i}(t) \operatorname{sign}\left(z_{i}\right) \geq 0 \text { for all } t \in I \\
\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{p, I_{i}}=1, \quad \frac{\left\|T_{\beta} f_{i}\right\|_{q, I_{i}}}{\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{p, I_{i}}}=C_{v_{\beta}, u_{\beta}, 0}\left(I_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Putting $U_{n}^{\beta}=\left\{u_{n, z}^{\beta}(.) ; z \in \mathcal{O}_{n}\right\}$ we have
$d_{n}\left(T_{\beta}\right) \geq \inf \left\{\left\|u_{n, z}^{\beta}(.)\right\|_{q, I}, u_{n, z}^{\beta}(.) \in U_{n}^{\beta}\right\} n^{-1 / p}=\inf \left\{\left\|u_{n, z}^{\beta}(.)\right\|_{q, I}, z \in \mathcal{O}_{n}\right\} n^{-1 / p}$.
Now we modify the set $U_{n}^{\beta}$. Put

$$
\tilde{u}_{n, z}^{\beta}(.)=\sum_{i} \chi_{J_{i}}(.) T_{\beta} f_{i}(.)
$$

where $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n+1}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{n}$, the $J_{i}$ are intervals built from consecutive pairs of points from $\mathcal{P}:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left|z_{i}\right|, j=1, . ., n+1\right\} \cup\left\{y_{i}, i=1, . ., N(\beta)\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{supp} f_{i}=J_{j}, \quad f_{i}(t) \operatorname{sign}\left(z_{i}\right) \geq 0 \text { for all } t \in I \\
\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{p, J_{i}}=1, \quad \frac{\left\|T_{\beta} f_{i}\right\|_{q, J_{i}}}{\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{p, J_{i}}}=C_{v_{\beta}, u_{\beta}, 0}\left(J_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then with $\tilde{U}_{n}^{\beta}=\left\{\tilde{u}_{n, z}^{\beta}(.) ; z \in \mathcal{O}_{n}\right\}$ we have
$d_{n}\left(T_{\beta}\right) \geq \inf \left\{\left\|u_{n, z}^{\beta}(.)\right\|_{q, I}, u_{n, z}^{\beta}(.) \in U_{n}^{\beta}\right\} n_{\beta}^{-1 / p} \geq \inf \left\{\left\|\tilde{u}_{n, z}^{\beta}(.)\right\|_{q, I}, \tilde{u}_{n, z}^{\beta}(.) \in \tilde{U}_{n}^{\beta}\right\} n_{\beta}^{-1 / p}$, where $n \leq n_{\beta}:=\# \mathcal{P} \leq n+N(\beta)$. It follows that

$$
\left\|u_{n, z}(.)\right\|_{q}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(C_{v, u, 0}\left(I_{i}\right)\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \geq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left(C_{v, u, 0}\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

and with the help of Lemma 3.12:
$\left\|\tilde{u}_{n, z}(.)\right\|_{q}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left(C_{v_{\beta}, u_{\beta}, 0}\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left(u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\left|J_{j}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} C_{1,1,0}([0,1])\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}$.
By Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 3.15:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j=1}\left|C_{v_{\beta}, u_{\beta}, 0}\left(J_{j}\right)-C_{v, u, 0}\left(J_{j}\right)\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leq \\
& \quad \leq\left(\sum_{j=1}\left|2\left\|u_{\beta}-u\right\|_{p^{\prime}, J_{i}}\|v\|_{q, J_{i}}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, J_{i}}\left\|v_{\beta}-v\right\|_{q, J_{i}}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq 2\left(\max _{j}\left\|u_{\beta}-u\right\|_{p^{\prime}, J_{i}}\right)\|v\|_{q, I}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I} \beta \\
& \quad \leq \beta\left(2\|v\|_{q, I}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the definition of $J_{j}$ and with help of the Hölder inequality we have

$$
\left[\int_{I}\left|u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right|^{1 / r}\right]^{r}=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right|^{1 / r}\left|J_{i}\right|\right]^{r} \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right|^{q}\left|J_{j}\right|^{1+q / p^{\prime}}\right]^{1 / q} n_{\beta}^{1 / p^{\prime}}
$$

By combining all previous observations we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}(z)\right\|_{q} & \geq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left(C_{v, u, 0}\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \geq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left(u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\left|J_{j}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q} C_{1,1,0}([0,1])\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}-\beta\left(2\|v\|_{q, I}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I}\right) \\
& \geq C_{1,1,0}([0,1])\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right|^{1 / r}\left|J_{j}\right|\right)^{r} n_{\beta}^{-1 / p^{\prime}}-\beta\left(2\|v\|_{q, I}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I}\right) \\
& =C_{1,1,0}([0,1])\left(\int_{I}\left|u_{\beta} v_{\beta}\right|^{1 / r}\right)^{r} n_{\beta}^{-1 / p^{\prime}}-\beta\left(2\|v\|_{q, I}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I}\right) \\
& \geq C_{1,1,0}([0,1])\left(\int_{I}(u v)^{1 / r} d t\right)^{r} n_{\beta}^{-1 / p^{\prime}}-\beta\left(2\|v\|_{q, I}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I}\right)-\beta^{r} C_{1,1,0}([0,1]) n_{\beta}^{-1 / p^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Take small $\beta>0$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$ : then $n_{\beta} / n \rightarrow 1$ and
$\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n}(T) n \geq C_{1,1,0}([0,1])\left(\int_{I}|u v|^{1 / r}\right)^{r}-\beta\left(2\|v\|_{q, I}+\|u\|_{p^{\prime}, I}\right)-\beta^{r} C_{1,1,0}([0,1]) n_{\beta}^{-1 / p^{\prime}}$.
Taking $\beta \rightarrow 0$ we finish the proof.

Theorem 4.5 Suppose that $u \in L_{p^{\prime}}(I)$ and $v \in L_{q}(I)$ and $1<q \leq p<\infty$. Let $s_{n}$ denote $a_{n}(T)$ or $d_{n}(T)$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n s_{n}=A_{1,1}([0,1])\left(\int_{I}(u v)^{1 / r}\right)^{r}
$$

where $r=1 / q+1 / p^{\prime}$.
Proof. From the combination of Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.4, the strict monotonicity of $B(\varepsilon)$ given by Lemma 3.11 and the fact that $a_{n}(T) \geq d_{n}(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1,1}([0,1])\left(\int_{I}(u v)^{1 / r}\right)^{r}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon[B(\varepsilon)]^{r}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon[B(\varepsilon)]^{1 / q-1 / p} B(\varepsilon) \\
\geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} a_{B(\varepsilon)} B(\varepsilon)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} n \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n d_{n} \\
\geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n d_{n} \geq A_{1,1}([0,1])\left(\int_{I}(u v)^{1 / r}\right)^{r}
\end{gathered}
$$

The result follows.
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